



## Application of Herbicides for Lawn Maintenance in South Western Nigeria: Benefits and Health Challenges

Y. I. Bulu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria.

### Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEE/2018/45440

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Onofre S. Corpuz, CFCST-Doroluman Arakan 9417 Cotabato, Philippines.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Ismaila Yada Sudi, Adamawa State University, Nigeria.

(2) Adewale Osipitan, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/28017>

Original Research Article

Received 19 September 2018

Accepted 02 December 2018

Published 31 December 2018

### ABSTRACT

There is an increasing shift from machete and machine-based lawn mowing to herbicide application in the south-western Nigeria. Although, people have speculated that this practice is less labour intensive and cheaper, the paucity of data on its implications on human health exists. This study seeks to provide baseline information on the health effects of this system of lawn maintenance. Structured questionnaire was randomly distributed to 313 head of households from Ondo and Osun States using multi-stage sampling technique. Parameters considered include frequency of usage, perception on activity and probable health symptoms. Data obtained were analysed by employing descriptive and inferential statistical methods. The study revealed that 88.2% of handlers were untrained and obtained information on usage and handling only from the manufacturers' instructions. About 94% and 75% of the respondents in Ondo and Osun States respectively posited that the use of herbicide was faster than the conventional methods while 95% in Ondo and 73% in Osun affirmed that it was less energy-consuming. In addition, 69% of the respondents affirmed that the use of herbicides contributes to insect and pest control around homes. A number of respondents usually eat (22.4%), drink (18.5%), smoke cigarettes (18.9%) and make telephone calls (43.77%) during herbicide applications. Symptoms associated with herbicide use by respondents were fatigue

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [yetunde.bulu@aaau.edu.ng](mailto:yetunde.bulu@aaau.edu.ng);

(57.0%), eyes irritation (35.1%), skin irritation (31.2%) and nausea (29.7%). This study, in addition to merits of use of herbicides, its negative impact on human health cannot be ignored in sustainable environmental management.

*Keywords: Herbicides; human health; lawn maintenance; environmental management.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The use of chemicals for pest and weed control has increased in recent times on the farms [1,2]. Studies have revealed that the indiscriminate use of these chemicals expose farmers to health hazards such as redness of eyes, headaches, sneezing and breathing difficulty [3,4,5,6]. Other health-related issues include cancer, respiratory health and neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's disease [7,8,9]. These effects are exacerbated by the general lack of precautionary measures to mitigate these health hazards [10,11]. The effects are further enhanced by the characteristics of herbicides which make them spread from point of application to other areas unintended, in the process exposing unsuspecting general public to health hazard.

Although the benefits of herbicides use and the associated health hazard on farmers agricultural have been documented in literatures [3,4,5,6], information on their health implications when used for lawn maintenance around homestead is sparse. The shift from the use of manual labour to herbicides in controlling weeds in residential areas particularly in the urban settings has increased in recent times and has become the vogue [12]. In agricultural settings for instance, exposure to pesticides occurs during application, contact with treated crops and when carrying out re-entry activities such as weeding or thinning. This research was therefore embarked upon to provide baseline data on the benefits and associated health issues of herbicide use in maintaining home.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The investigation was carried out in selected urban communities in Ondo State (Akure, Owo and Akungba) and Osun State (Osogbo and Ile-Ife). The detailed characteristics of the study area and the study population have been previously described [12]. A total of 313 residential homes comprising 196 from Ondo State and 117 from Osun State were selected for the study. The characteristics of the heads of households who responded to the questionnaires

varied significantly in terms of age, sex and occupation.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Herbicide Brands Found in the Study Sites

Herbicide brands used by respondents on the study sites (Table 1) showed that, a total of 33 herbicide brands were used for weed control in Ondo and Osun States. Herbicides commonly used in both States are the systemic (58%) and contact herbicides (42%). About 63.2% of the systemic herbicides contain glyphosate as active ingredients.

### 3.2 Effectiveness of Herbicide Brands Used in Controlling Weeds on Sites


Fig. 1 shows the time taken for the herbicide brands used to control weeds after application in each State. In Ondo State, 29.3% and 15.4% of the respondents claimed that the effectiveness of their herbicide brands is noticed after 1-2 days and 3-4 days of application respectively (Fig. 1a). In Osun State, however, 25.6% and 39.3% of the respondents stated that the herbicide brands used controlled weeds within 1-2 days and 3-4 days of application respectively (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, 33.5% and 21.8% of the respondents in Ondo State (Fig. 1a) and 25.6% and 9.4% of the respondents in Osun State (Fig. 1b) affirmed that the herbicide brands applied became effective after 5-6 and after 7 days of application respectively. About half of the respondents (55.4%) claimed that it takes 4 days or less for applied herbicide brands to effectively control weeds (Fig. 1c).

The distribution of respondents in terms of the re-growth time of weeds after herbicide application is shown in Fig. 2. On the aggregate, 38.9% and 35% of respondents reported re-growth of weeds over 5-6 weeks and over 6 weeks after application of herbicide respectively (Fig. 2c). In Ondo State, 39.2% of the respondents reported that the emergence of weeds occurred 7 weeks or more after treating their environment with

herbicide; 35.1% claimed this happened 5-6 weeks after application, while 23.2% claimed weed re-growth occurred 3-4 weeks after application. Only 2.6% claimed that the emergence of weeds occurred 1-2 weeks after herbicide application (Fig. 2a). For Osun State, the corresponding figures were, 28.2% for 7 weeks and over, 45.3% at 5-6 weeks, 19.7% for 3-4 weeks and 6.8% for 1-2 weeks (Fig. 2b).


study area. Half of the respondents (54.2%) applied herbicide 3-4 times in a year. 23.4% and 16.2% of the respondents applied herbicide 5-6 times and 7 times or more respectively within a year (Fig. 3c). These values were more or less replicated in the two States with majority applying herbicide 3-4 times within a year: in Ondo (64.4%) (Fig. 3a) and in Osun (37.6%) (Fig. 3b). Approximately 23% of the respondents in each of the State studied applied herbicide 5-6 times in a year (Figs. 3a and 3b).

Fig. 3 shows the frequency of herbicide application in a year by respondents within the



**Fig. 1. Rate of action of herbicides in lawn maintenance in selected communities of Ondo and Osun States, Nigeria**

*a = Ondo State, b = Osun State, c = Ondo and Osun States*



**Fig. 2. Duration of weed re-growth after herbicides application in lawn maintenance in selected communities of Ondo and Osun States, Nigeria**  
*a = Ondo State, b = Osun State, c = Ondo and Osun States*

### 3.3 Advantage of Using Herbicides in Lawn Maintenance

Approximately 87% of the population under study said the use of herbicides was a faster method of weed control and involved less energy. This corresponds to 94%; 95% in Ondo and 75%; 73% in Osun States respectively. About 69% of the respondents also affirmed that the use of herbicide does not only control weeds but helps in controlling insects and pests around the

homes. The respondents' perceptions of the advantages of using herbicide are as described in Fig. 4.


### 3.4 Health-related Issues Associated with the Use of Herbicide in Lawn Maintenance

The symptoms felt after spraying herbicides are shown in Table 2. According to the results, the symptoms include fatigue (57.0%), eyes irritation

(35.1%), skin irritation (31.2%) and vomiting sensation (29.7%). Other symptoms such as chest pain (10.22%), difficulties in breathing (22.68%), headache (9.27%), throat dryness (8.31%), dizziness (29.07%) and increase in body temperature (6.07%) were also reported by the respondents after spraying herbicide. However, a few respondents reported shaking or tremor in the body (2.56%), difficulty in swallowing (2.56%), slurring or slowness in speech (4.47%), sleeplessness (4.15%) and stiffness in the body (4.15%) as health hazard associated with herbicide application in their immediate environment.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The demographical data presented in this study are as reported in an early study by Bulu et al. [12]. The majority of the herbicides used in the study area were systemic in action with glyphosate as their active ingredient. The choice of the glyphosate brand of herbicide over others might not be unconnected with its wide popularity [13] and its effective control of actively growing plants via inhibition of the 5-enolpyruvylshikimic acid-3-phosphate synthase [14].



**Fig. 3. Frequency of herbicides application in lawn maintenance in selected communities of Ondo and Osun States, Nigeria**


a = Ondo State, b = Osun State, c = Ondo and Osun States

**Table 1. Herbicide brands used for lawn maintenance in selected communities of Ondo and Osun States, Nigeria**

S/N	Herbicide brand name	Active ingredient	Mode of action	State of preference
1.	Weed crusher	Paraquat	Contact	Ondo, Osun
2.	Force off	Paraquat	Contact	Ondo, Osun
3.	Weed off	Paraquat	Contact	Ondo, Osun
4.	Clear weed	Paraquat	Contact	Ondo, Osun
5.	Sarosate	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
6.	Delsate	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
7.	Bushfire	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
8.	Fitscosate	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
9.	Round up	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
10.	General	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
11.	Altra force	Atrazine	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
12.	Agroxone	Paraquat dichloride	Contact	Ondo
13.	Slasher	Paraquat dichloride	Contact	Ondo
14.	Paraq	Paraquat dichloride	Contact	Ondo
15.	Ultramine	2, 4-D amino salt	Systemic	Ondo
16.	Aminoforce	2, 4-D amino salt	Systemic	Ondo
17.	Select	2, 4-D amino salt	Systemic	Ondo
18.	Meztraz/Xtravest	Atrazine & Methaclor	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
19.	Diutop	Diuron	Systemic	Ondo
20.	Force diuron	Diuron	Systemic	Osun
21.	Vestalin	Pendimethalin	Contact	Osun
22.	Striker	Nicosulfuron	Contact	Osun
23.	Lamdanet	Nicosulfuron	Contact	Osun
24.	Burner	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo, Osun
25.	Deep to root	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo
26.	Uproot	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo
27.	Razedown	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo
28.	Eagrowzone	Paraquat dichloride	Contact	Ondo
29.	Tackle	Glyphosate & imazethapyr	Systemic	Ondo
30.	Crusher	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo
31.	Dragon	Paraquat dichloride	Contact	Ondo
32.	Wipe out	Glyphosate	Systemic	Ondo
33.	Rage	Paraquat	Contact	Ondo

**Table 2. Health-related issues associated with the use of herbicide**

Health issues	Ondo state		Osun state		Total	
	F	%	F	%	F	%
Fatigue	89	45.41	88	75.21	177	56.55
Eyes irritation	29	14.8	79	67.52	108	34.5
Skin irritation	30	15.31	66	56.41	96	30.67
Dizziness	28	14.29	63	53.85	91	29.07
Vomiting sensation	16	8.16	61	52.14	77	24.6
Difficulties in breathing	16	8.16	55	47.01	71	22.68
Tiredness	74	37.76	0	0	74	23.64
Chest pain	30	15.31	2	1.71	32	10.22
Pepperish sensation on the body	18	9.18	2	1.71	20	6.39
Internal heat in the body	15	7.65	2	1.71	17	5.41
Sleeplessness	11	5.61	2	1.71	13	4.15
Headache	27	13.78	2	1.71	29	9.27
Increase in body temperature	17	8.67	2	1.71	19	6.07
Dryness in the throat	24	12.24	2	1.71	26	8.31
Difficulty in swallowing	6	3.06	2	1.71	8	2.56
Rashes on the body	17	8.67	2	1.71	19	6.07
Stiffness in the body	11	5.61	2	1.71	13	4.15
Shaking or tremor in the body	6	3.06	2	1.71	8	2.56
Slurring or slowness in speech	12	6.12	2	1.71	14	4.47



**Fig. 4. Benefits of herbicide application in maintaining lawn in selected communities of Ondo and Osun States Nigeria**

The finding that it usually takes more than 2 days for herbicides applied to be effective in controlling weeds may be connected with the fact that glyphosate brands of herbicide were used by most of the respondents. These are systemic herbicides that take a longer time in acting on weeds when compared with the contact herbicide. The systemic herbicides after initial absorption needed to be translocated to other

parts of the plant to have their full effect thus lack the quick “knockdown effect” observed when the contact chemicals are used in the study.

Furthermore, the time it takes the weeds to re-grow after herbicide application in the environment is an indication of the efficacy of the herbicide used in the study area. The study found that most weed re-growth occurred after 5

weeks and that herbicides were applied less than 5 times a year by most users. This could also be linked to the fact that the herbicides favoured by most of the respondents are systemic with effectiveness in killing the entire plants to the root zone even at low application rates [14]. This intervention would require that the number of times the herbicides would be applied within a year be reduced.

Apart from controlling weeds in the ambience of living, respondents opined that the use of herbicides is a faster method of weed eradication that saves time and energy. This agrees with the findings of Swanton et al. [15] that the use of herbicide increases the energy efficiency in crop production. The review by Pacanoski [16] also supports this finding that the use of herbicide saves labour necessary for weed control practices and save energy.

The present study found that a substantial proportion of the respondents always suffer from severed ailments during and after spraying herbicide. These include fatigue, eyes and skin irritation, nausea, dizziness and difficulty in breathing. The finding is similar to that of Ogunjimi and Farinde [5] which states that majority (60%) of the farmers in Osun and Edo States, Nigeria, experienced body itching, cough and difficulty in breathing during and after chemical application. Hence, respiratory and dermatosis infections are associated with non-strict compliance with precautionary guidelines during the use of herbicides. The shaking and slurring speech observed among respondents could be linked to early stage of development of Parkinson's disease (PD) which is said to have increased risk in people exposed to pesticides [7,8,9].

## 5. CONCLUSION

This study provides evidence that the use of herbicides is an easy and faster method of lawn maintenance. It reduces energy, cost and labour demands. However, health hazards such as respiratory and dermatosis infections are associated with its use. It is therefore recommended that necessary precautionary measures should be put in place to prevent environmental health hazard during herbicide application around homesteads.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the suggestions and inputs made by Prof. J. O.

Faluyi, Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife, and Prof A. B. Aromolaran, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, Adekunle Ajasin University Akungba-Akoko Ondo State. You both made the work a lot easier. Thank you.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Aktar MW, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A. Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*. 2009;2(1):1-12. DOI: 10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7
2. Popp J, Pető K, Nagy J. Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2013;33(1):243-255.
3. Lawal BO, Torimiro DO, Banjo AD, Joda AO. Operational habits and health hazards associated with pesticide usage by cocoa farmers in Nigeria: Lessons for extension work. *Journal of Human Ecology*. 2005; 17(3):191-195.
4. Sosan MB, Akingbohunge AE. Occupational insecticide exposure and perception of safety measures among cacao farmers in south-western Nigeria. *Archives of Environmental and Occupational Health*. 2009;64(3):185-193.
5. Ogunjimi SI, Farinde AJ. Farmers' knowledge level of precautionary measures in agro-chemicals usage on cocoa production in Osun and Edo States, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*. 2012;2(4):186-194.
6. Olowoyo OA, Deji OF. Gender perception on the health and environmental hazards of pesticide usage among cocoa farmers in Ekiti State, Nigeria. *Journal of Health and Environmental Research*. 2017;3(1):1-7. DOI: 10.11648/j.jher.20170301.11
7. Van der Mark M, Brouwer M, Kromhout H, Nijssen P, Huss A, Vermeulen R. Is pesticide use related to parkinson disease? Some clues to heterogeneity in study results. *Environmental Health Perspective*. 2012;120:340-347.
8. Freire C, Koifman S. Pesticide exposure and parkinson's disease: Epidemiological evidence of association. *Neurotoxicology*. 2012;33:947-971.



9. Hoppin JA. Respiratory health: Where do we go from here? *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2014;71:80–81.
10. Ugwu JA, Omoloye AA, Asogwa EU, Aduloju AR. Pesticide-handling practices among smallholder vegetable farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Scientific Research Journal (SCIRJ)*. 2015;3(4):40-47.
11. Jallow MFA, Awadh DG, Albaho MS, Devi VY, Thomas BM. Pesticide knowledge and safety practices among farm workers in Kuwait: Results of a Survey. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2017;14(340):1-15. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph14040340
12. Bulu YI, Adewole MB, Faluyi JO. An analysis of adherence to precautions in herbicide application for weed control in selected cities of Southwest Nigeria. *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management*. 2017;10(10):1310-1322. Available: <https://ejesm.org/doi/v10i10.7>
13. Schuster R, Gratzfeld-Husgen A. A comparison of pre- and postcolumn sample treatment for the analysis of glyphosate. *Agilent Technologies, Germany*. 1992;1-8.
14. Franz JE, Mao MK, Sikorski JA. Glyphosate—A unique global herbicide. *ACS Monograph 189*. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC; 1997.
15. Swanton CJ, Murphy SD, Hume DJ, Clements DR. Recent improvements in the energy efficiency of agriculture: Case studies from Ontario, Canada. *Agricultural Systems*. 1996;52(4):399-418.
16. Pacanoski Z. Herbicide use: Benefits for society as a whole- A review. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research*. 2007;13(1-2):135-147.

© 2018 Bulu; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/28017>