



## **Isolation and Identification of Microbial Deteriogens of Fresh Tomatoes Stored at Ambient Temperature**

**G. M. Obunukwu<sup>1\*</sup>, K. S. Dike<sup>2</sup> and G. E. Nwakwasi<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, Rhema University, Abia State, Nigeria.

### **Authors' contributions**

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author GMO wrote the manuscript, designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol. Authors KSD and GEN managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2018/45193

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Zahid Anwar, Department of Biochemistry, University of Gujrat, Pakistan.

(2) Dr. Ana Cláudia Coelho, Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Portugal.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Abdul Hameed, Government College of Science, Pakistan.

(2) Chijioko Patrick Amadi, University of Uyo, Nigeria.

(3) Maria Erna Kustyawati, University of Lampung, Indonesia.

(4) Luis Alberto Ramirez Camejo, Purdue University, USA.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/28023>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 18 September 2018**

**Accepted 10 December 2018**

**Published 31 December 2018**

### **ABSTRACT**

This study investigated the microorganisms associated with the deterioration of fresh tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum*, stored at ambient temperature. A total of 60 tomatoes obtained from three different markets in Owerri metropolis, Nigeria were sampled and a total of 134 bacteria isolates and 140 fungal isolates were encountered. Bacteria isolated and identified were *Bacillus spp*, *Pseudomonas spp*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella spp* and *Salmonella spp*. The most prevalent bacteria isolate was *Bacillus spp* with 52.24% while *Salmonella spp* was the lowest prevailing isolate with 1.49%. The fungal isolates were *Fusarium spp*, *Aspergillus spp*, *Rhizopus spp*, *Saccharomyces spp*. Whereas *Aspergillus spp* was the most prevalent with 60.71% and was found in tomato sample from all the market, *Rhizopus spp* had the least prevalence of 0.71%. The presence of toxin producing fungi, *Aspergillus spp* and *Fusarium spp* which are capable of causing food poisoning as well as some bacterial isolates, raises concern over public health risks that may be associated with the consumption of unwholesome tomato.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [drgifted1996@gmail.com](mailto:drgifted1996@gmail.com);

**Keyword:** Tomatoes; deteriogens; ambient; temperature; fresh.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) is a berry plant in the *solanaceae* family. It is a short lived perennial plant grown as an annual plant, typically growing about 3-5m approximately in height. Tomatoes are brightly red coloured berry which are eaten fresh in salads or processed and can be stewed, fried, baked and also used to produce soup, or used as juice. Tomato is one of the widely consumed fresh fruit worldwide since it contributes to a healthy well balanced diet which is rich in vitamins such as vitamin, B, C, and E. Carbohydrates such as fructose and glucose; and trace elements like iron, copper, zinc, and dietary fiber [1].

Tomatoes grow best in light, free draining, and fertile loamy soil with PH of 5 – 7. Nonetheless, tomatoes can be grown in variety of soils [2]. Concerning the fertilizer requirement, tomatoes requires an abundance of three vital elements namely, nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The deep red colouration of ripened tomato is due to the presence of LYCOPENE, a form of B-carotenoid pigment and a powerful antioxidant that help to protect against prostate cancer, cardiovascular disease and diabetes, thus there is a great demand for tomatoes by consumer as a result of their healthy, tasty, convenient, and fresh appeal [3]. Tomato, however, have serious challenges to their existence. These include changes in climatic condition, pest, bacterial and fungal attack and over the years there has been an increase in the need to identify and isolate the microorganism associated with their deterioration.

Deterioration refers to any change in food, which the food becomes less palatable, or even toxic, these changes may be accompanied by alteration in taste, smell, appearance or texture [4]. Numerous microbial defects (Signs and Symptoms) of tomatoes are characterized by the type of microorganism responsible for the deterioration, in the process of infection in the case of fungal invasion follows the development of fungal penetrating structure. The colonization process involves the ability of the microorganism to establish itself within the produce. Susceptibility of tomato to microbial colonization is due to its differential chemical composition such as high level of sugar, low pH (4.9-6.5) and its high water activity ( $p > 0.99$ ) which favors the

growth of microorganism in tomato is recognized as a source of potential health hazard to man and animals, this is due to their production of toxins which are capable of causing disease like respiratory infection, meningitis, gastroenteritis, diarrhoea in man following ingestion [5].

Tomatoes was chosen for this study because they are referred to as ready-to-eat food since they are minimally processed and many people take tomatoes raw directly or via meals of salad usually served cold. Microbial spoilage and contaminating pathogens on this product poses a serious problem in food safety. The Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that there are 76 million cases of food borne illness every year. Outbreaks with identified etiology are predominantly of microbial origins [6], so that studying about the microbial ecosystem of fresh raw and spoilt tomato is necessary.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials used for these analyses were standard microbiological materials and were gotten from the microbiology laboratory except the tomato samples that were purchased from different markets.

### 2.1 Samples Collection

Fresh tomatoes were obtained from the major markets in owerri metropolis, which include Ekeonunwa, Relief, and student market. A total of 60 tomatoes, 20 tomatoes from each market were sampled. The ripened tomato fruits selected were fresh, undamaged, firm and healthy. The sample were taken to the laboratory, washed and drained of water. The fruit samples were kept from dust and insects at room temperature for up to 14 days to undergo a natural process of deterioration before being used for study.

### 2.2 Processing of Fresh Spoilt Tomatoes Stored at Ambient Temperature

The tomatoes fruit samples were ground using a sterile mortar and pestle. A homogenate of each sample was made by blending one gram in 9ml of sterile water and shaking them together. Serial dilution of up to  $10^5$  of the homogenate was made in sterile test tubes.

### 2.3 Enumeration of Microbial Load

A ten-fold serial dilution of each of the samples was carried out. Spread plate technique was employed by inoculating 0.1ml aliquot aseptically from the  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$  dilutions onto nutrient and MacConkey agar plates for enumeration of bacteria and Sabouraud dextrose agar for fungi count. The agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-48hours for bacterial count and at 25°C - 28°C for 5-8 days for fungal count. Each sample was inoculated in duplicate agar plates and the mean values of bacterial and fungal counts were recorded as colony forming unit per ml (cfu/ml).

### 2.4 Purification (Subculture) of Bacterial Isolates

Colonies from the primary plates were aseptically picked with a sterile wire loop and transferred onto freshly prepared sterile nutrient agar plate, with a streaking technique such that discrete colonies appear at the ends of streaked lines after incubation. The subculture plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours to 48 hours. Discrete colonies from the subculture plates were aseptically transferred and streaked on slant and incubated for another 24 hours at 37°C which were stored at 4°C and used subsequently for microscopic characterization and biochemical analyses.

### 2.5 Purification of Fungal Isolates

Colonies from the primary plates were aseptically picked with a sterile inoculation needle and transferred onto a freshly prepared sterile Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) plate with a streaking method and incubated for 5- 7 days at 25°C-28°C. Discrete colonies were aseptically transferred and stocked on slant and incubated for another 5 days at 25°C-28°C. Pure colonies were stored in the refrigerator at 10°C-15°C until needed for characterization and identification.

### 2.6 Characterization and Identification of Bacterial Isolates

All bacterial isolates were characterized and identified based on their cultural, morphological, microscopic examination and biochemical characteristics following the methods prescribed by (Cheesbrough, 2005). Biochemical test conducted include the following: Gram stain, Catalase test, Oxidase test, Motility test, Methyl red test, Citrate test and Urease test.

### 2.7 Identification of Fungi Isolate

The complete identification of fungi isolate was done by comparing the result of their morphological characteristics with those of known taxa.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results on the isolation and identification of microbial detriogens of fresh tomato stored at ambient temperature are described as follows: The total heterotrophic count, total coliform count, total fungi counts are shown in Table 1. The result shows that tomato fruit samples from Relief market recorded the highest bacterial and fungal count while the samples from Ekeonuwa market recorded the lowest bacterial and fungal count. Table 2 shows the characterization and identification of the isolates following biochemical procedures. The bacteria isolates identified from spoilt fresh tomatoes stored at ambient temperature were: *Bacillus spp*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas spp*, *salmonella spp*, *Klebsiella spp*. The result on the identification of fungi are shown in Table 3, the fungal isolates identified from spoilt fresh tomatoes stored at ambient temperature were *Fusarium spp*, *Aspergillus spp*, *Rhizopus spp*, *Saccharomyces spp*. The percentage occurrence of bacteria isolates from fruit samples obtained from different markets are presented in Table 4 which indicates that From all the tomato fruit samples obtained from the 3 market, 134 bacteria was isolated of which *Bacillus spp* was the most prevalent with 52.24%, *Escherichia coli*(14.93%), *Pseudomonas spp*(23.88%), *salmonella spp* (1.49%), *Klebsiella spp* (6.72%). The isolation of soil bacteria *Bacillus spp*, from the fruit samples, suggests evidence of opportunistic contamination from human activity. The percentage occurrence of fungi isolates as presented in Table 5 are as follows: in the characterization of fungi, a total of 140 organism were isolated of which 85(60.71%) were *Aspergillus spp*, followed by *Fusarium spp* 44(31.43%), *Saccharomyces spp* 10(7.14%), *Rhizopus spp* was not significant ( $P>0.05$ ). Similar findings were reported by Ghosh [7] who also asserted that *Aspergillus spp*, and *Fusarium spp*. were the major microorganisms that are responsible for the spoilage of tomato fruits. The implications of microbial contamination and growth on tomato produce causes spoilage, decreased sensory appeal and decreased shelf life leading to loss and wastage of product that have significant economic consequences. The microbiological safety of these products has also

**Table 1. Microbial load of spoilt fresh tomatoes stored at ambient temperature (cfu/ml)**

	<b>Sample 1</b>	<b>Sample 2</b>	<b>Sample 3</b>
Total bacterial count	3.6x10 <sup>4</sup>	5.0x 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.8x10 <sup>4</sup>
Total coliform count	1.6x10 <sup>4</sup>	2.5x10 <sup>4</sup>	2.0x10 <sup>4</sup>
Total fungi count	4.0x10 <sup>4</sup>	5.3x10 <sup>4</sup>	4.6x10 <sup>4</sup>

Key: Sample 1= Result from tomatoes gotten from ekonunwa  
 Sample 2= Result from tomatoes gotten from relief  
 Sample 3 = Result from tomatoes gotten from Student market

### COLONIAL MORPHOLOGY OF BACTERIA ISOLATES

**Table 2. Identification of bacteria isolates**

<b>Size</b>	<b>Shape</b>	<b>Elevation</b>	<b>Margin</b>	<b>Surface</b>	<b>Opacity</b>	<b>Colour</b>	<b>Texture</b>	<b>Suspecting organism</b>
Sample 1(A)	Small	Round	Slightly raised	Entires	Smooth	Shiny	Mucoid	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
Sample 1(b)	Large	Round	Umbonate	Undulate	Smooth	Transluscent	Mucoid	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>
Sample1(c)	Medium	Oval	Unbonate	Wavy	Smooth	Transparent	Mucoid	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>
Sample 1(D)	Large	Irregular	Umbonate	Undulate	Rough	Opaque	Dry	<i>Bacillus spp</i>
Sample2(A)	Medium	Oval	Unbonate	Wavy	Smooth	Transparent	Mucoid	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>
Sample 2(B)	Small	Round	Slightly raised	Entires	Smooth	Shiny	Mucoid	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
Sample2(C)	Large	irregular	Umbonate	Undulate	Rough	Opaque	Dry	<i>Bacillus spp</i>
Sample 2(D)	Large	Round	Umbonate	Undulate	Smooth	Transluscent	Mucoid	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>
Sample 3(A)	Small	Round	Slightly raised	Entires	Smooth	Shiny	Mucoid	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
Sample 3(B)	Large	Round	Umbonate	Undulate	Smooth	Transluscent	Mucoid	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>
Sample 3(C)	Medium	Oval	Unbonate	Wavy	Smooth	Transparent	Mucoid	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>
Sample 3(D)	Large	irregular	Umbonate	Undulate	Rough	Opaque	Dry	<i>Bacillus spp</i>

A, B, C, D, E represents each colony on the culture plate from each sample

**Table 2.1. Biochemical characteristics of bacterial isolates**

	<b>Gram staining</b>	<b>Catalase</b>	<b>Coagulase</b>	<b>Methyl red</b>	<b>Vp test (Vogesproskauer)</b>	<b>motility</b>	<b>Citrate test</b>	<b>Indole test</b>	<b>Oxidase test</b>	<b>Probable organism</b>
Sample 1(A)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	<i>E.coli</i>
Sample 1(b)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>
Sample 1(c)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>
Sample 1(D)	+ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	<i>Bacillus spp</i>
Sample 2(A)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>
Sample 2(B)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	<i>E.coli</i>
Sample 2(C)	+ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	<i>Bacillus spp</i>
Sample 2(D)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>
Sample 3(A)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	<i>E.coli</i>
Sample 3(B)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>
Sample 3(C)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>
Sample 3(D)	+ve single rods	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	<i>Bacillus spp</i>
Sample 3(E)	-ve single rods	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	<i>Salmonella spp</i>

Key: + = Positive  
- = Negative

**Table 3. Identification of fungal isolates**

	<b>Macroscopy</b>	<b>Microscopy</b>	<b>Fungal isolates</b>
<b>Sample 1(A)</b>	Greenish, filamentous with profuse proliferation of black velvety spores	Septate hyphae, branched condiophore with secondary branches. The condiophore is enlarged at the tip forming rounding vesicle-like chains	<i>Aspergillus spp</i>
<b>Sample 2(B)</b>	Initially white and cottony but later develop pink centre with a lighter periphery.	Septate hyphae with canoe-shaped macroconidia, condiophores bear conidia singly or in cluster.	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>
<b>Sample 2(A)</b>	Initially white and cottony but later develop pink centre with a lighter periphery.	Septate hyphae with canoe-shaped macroconidia, condiophores bear conidia singly or in cluster.	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>
<b>Sample 2(B)</b>	Greenish, filamentous with profuse proliferation of black velvety spores	Septate hyphae, branched condiophore with secondary branches. The condiophore is enlarged at the tip forming rounding vesicle-like chains	<i>Aspergillus spp</i>
<b>Sample 3(A)</b>	Initially white and cottony but later develop pink centre with a lighter periphery.	Septate hyphae with canoe-shaped macroconidia, condiophores bear conidia singly or in cluster.	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>
<b>Sample 3(B)</b>	Greenish, filamentous with profuse proliferation of black velvety spores	Septate hyphae, branched condiophore with secondary branches. The condiophore is enlarged at the tip forming rounding vesicle-like chains	<i>Aspergillus spp</i>
<b>Sample 3(C)</b>	Cottony white, filamentous, coenocytic, stolons, rhizoids.	Ovoid sporangiospores, tall sporangiospores in groups	<i>Rhizopus spp</i>
<b>Sample 3(D)</b>	Colonies of <i>Saccharomyces sp.</i> grow rapidly. They are flat, smooth, moist glistening or dull, and cream to tannish cream in color	Multilateral budding is typical Pseudohyphae, if present are rudimentary. Hyphae are absent. <i>Saccharomyces sp.</i> produces ascospores.	<i>Sacharomyces spp</i>

**Table 4. The percentage occurrence of bacteria isolates in spoilt fresh tomatoes stored at ambient temperature**

Bacteria isolates	Sample 1(no of colonies)	Sample 2(no of colonies)	Sample 3(no of colonies)	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>Bacillus spp</i>	20	25	25	70	52.24
<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>	8	10	14	32	23.88
<i>Salmonella spp</i>	Nil	Nil	2	2	1.49
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	5	10	5	20	14.93
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	3	5	1	9	6.72
Total	36	50	48	134	100

Key: Sample 1= Result from tomatoes gotten from Ekonunwa market  
 Sample 2= Result from tomatoes gotten from relief market  
 Sample 3 = Result from tomatoes gotten from Student market

**Table 5. The percentage occurrence of fungi isolates in spoilt fresh tomatoes stored at ambient temperature**

Fungal isolates	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Percentage (%)
<i>Fusarium spp</i>	20	16	8	31.43
<i>Aspergillus spp</i>	20	37	28	60.71
<i>Rhizopus spp</i>	Nil	1	Nil	0.71
<i>Saccharomyces spp</i>	Nil	Nil	10	7.14
Total	40	54	46	100

Sample 1= Result from tomatoes gotten from ekonunwa market  
 Sample 2= Result from tomatoes gotten from relief market  
 Sample 3 = Result from tomatoes gotten from Student market

become a significant issue, as the incidence of food borne disease outbreaks associated with their consumption.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

As a consumer we need to recognize that food safety is important for fresh fruits and vegetable. Also individuals of the population especially those in developing countries who usually use spoilt and slightly decaying tomatoes as a result of their cheaper prices should be educated that these spoilage are often not due to mechanical damages but microbial colonization and physiological decays, they should be made to know that these organisms produces toxins and spores which are relatively heat resistant and can cause severe food poisoning resulting in fatal outcome.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. FAOSTAT. FAOSTAT |©FAO Statistics Division; 2010. Available:<http://faostat.fao.org/> (Accessed 27 April 2012).
2. Naika S, Juede J, Goffau M, Hilmi M, Dam V. Cultivation of tomato production, processing and marketing, Agromisa/ CTA. Revised edition. Agrodok Series. 2005;17.
3. Passam HC, Karapanos IC, Bebeli PJ, Savvas D. A review of recent research on tomato nutrition, breeding and post-harvest technology with refrence to fruit quality. The European Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology. 2007;1:1–21.
4. Berdegue JA, Balsevich F, Flores L, Reardon T. Central American supermarkets' private standards of quality and safety in procurement of fresh fruits and vegetables. Food Policy. 2005;30:254-269.
5. Beuchat LR. Vectors and conditions for pre-harvest contamination of fruits and vegetables with pathogens capable of

- causing enteric diseases. Britain food Journal. 2006;108:38-53.
6. Bihn EA, Gravani RB. Role of good agricultural practices in fruit and vegetable safety. In: Microbiology of Fresh Produce (Edited by K.R Matthews). Washington DC: ASM Press. 2006;21-28.
7. Ghosh A. Identification of microorganisms responsible for spoilage of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) fruit. Journal of Phytology. 2009;1(6):414-416.

---

© 2018 Obunukwu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/28023>