

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(11): 3507-3523, 2022; Article no.IJECC.93225 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Comparative Morphometric Studies of European Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) at Different Altitudes of Kashmir Region, India

Masrat Siraj ^a, Munazah Yaqoob ^b, Liyaqat Ayoub ^{b*}, Bilal Ahmad Bhat ^c, Mohmmad Aasif Sheikh ^b and Sheikh Salma Irshad ^a

^a Department of Entomology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India. ^b Department of Entomology, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agriculture Science and Technology-Kashmir, Wadura, Sopore, Jammu and Kashmir, India. ^c Department of Agri-statistics, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agriculture Science and Technology-Kashmir, Shuhama, Ganderbal, Jammu and Kashmir, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i111399

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93225

Original Research Article

Received 21 August 2022 Accepted 26 October 2022 Published 02 November 2022

ABSTRACT

European honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.,) was introduced in Kashmir during late 19^{th} century and since, then its domestication is continued in diverse conditions of Kashmir region. It appears well adapted to unique climatic conditions of the valley. In order to analyse diversification in its characters during ecological adaptations to different altitudes and climatic conditions, morphological characterization of *Apis mellifera* was employed at different altitudes of Kashmir region. Samples of honey bees were obtained from nine locations representing three different altitudes viz., high, medium and low of Kashmir. Total of 17 characters were measured at three different altitudes. Highly significant difference (p≤0.01) in 15 characters were observed was observed among three altitudes. The three main characters *viz.*, proboscis length, fore wing length and cubital index were compared with earlier data as reported by Ruttner (1988). The proboscis length (6.35±0.06 mm) at high altitude, forewing length (9.21±0.01 mm) at mid altitude, cubital index at low altitude (2.55±0.08 mm) were found same or close to *A. mellifera ligustica*. However,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: liyaqatayoub@gmail.com;

other parameters did not support presence of this sub-species, therefore, it can be conclude that *A. mellifera* might have undergone degradations in its certain characters after its introduction in Kashmir region due to diverse climate conditions.

Keywords: Diversification; proboscis; degradation; cubical index; domestication; forewing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Honey bees are of huge economic importance, plays a vital role in pollination of fruit crops, vegetables and wild plants. They are capable of producing world's amazing sweetener, "honey" along with other important product of commercial value such as royal jelly, wax, propolis, etc. A honey bee colony comprises a queen, hundreds of drones and thousands of worker bees. The workers are always firm and perform different duties including honey, pollen collection, etc. Honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) is widespread in Africa, Europe and Western Asia [1] and comprises of 26 subspecies according to morphometric analyses [1,2]. The state of Jammu and Kashmir is one of the most important beekeeping areas in India with at least four agro climatic zones ranging from low altitude subtropical, intermediate, temperate and cold alpine. Kashmir lies in the Northern Hemisphere, has a moderate climate, which is largely defined by its geographic location. As a large valley with significant differences in Geo-location among various districts, the weather is often cooler in the hilly areas compared to the flat lower part. Apis mellifera L., was introduced in Kashmir during late 19th century and since, then its domestication is continued in diverse conditions of state. Apis mellifera appears well adapted to unique climatic conditions of Kashmir region. For example, foraging activities, worker and drone brood rearing, pollen storage and colony population size during the summer was significantly higher than those of other honeybee species, resulted in higher pollen and honey production. Higher pollen and honey production does not only depends upon the floral abundance of the area but also on the morphological characters of workers such as body size, proboscis length, corbicula, etc. [3]. Factors that affect worker body characteristics include pollen and nectar resources [4], content of dietary protein [5,6], geographical location [7,8,9]. Furthermore, the length of proboscis of a particular species of worker bee plays a vital role for honey production as it has positive correlation with foraging [10]. Besides these features, wing morphometry plays important role in classification of races and their size affects the flight ability of worker honey bees [11]. However,

the honey bee species in different climates may possess varied phenotypic characters and is suspected to have different ecological races. There is often a positive correlation in some characteristics, for instance, the length of the wing and altitude, the size of pollen basket and hind-leg and the size of honey. Such correlation can indicate the importance of the morphologic characteristics in the adaptation of individuals to the environment [12] which can lead to the evolution of many subspecies [13]. The study of of honey morphometry bees assumes significance for its application in species and subspecies characterization and discrimination. The honey bee races differ in their morphology, physiology and behavioural aspects in relation to their environment [14] and the use of their morphological characters is highly important in discriminating among the honey bee sub-species and in preserving the honey bee biodiversity. Worldwide, several workers [15,16,17,18] have used morphometric techniques to resolve the intraspecifc taxonomy of honey bees, specifically for A. mellifera.

Morphometric tools along with sophisticated statistical analysis for studies of phenotypes and of the diversification of these bees made it possible to describe changes in the bee body dimensions depending on latitude [19]. Originally, discrimination of subspecies was based on descriptive methods but they proved insufficient and were replaced by morphometric methods [16]. Morphometry is therefore, a powerful tool which can discriminate populations [20,21]. This method uses numeric data resulting from exact measurements of morphological characters from which means of colony characters are obtained for statistical analyses [16].

In order to analyse the present morphometric status of honey bee Apis mellifera in Kashmir region, the present studies were undertaken. Presently, no study has specified morphometric characterization of Apis mellifera found throughout Kashmir at three different objective altitudes [22,23]. The of this studv was to determine difference in characters of Apis mellifera at different altitude under diverse climatic conditions since its introduction.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sampling of *A. mellifera* Bees from Different Altitudes

The samples of *A. mellifera* (worker bees) were obtained from nine locations *viz.,* Narastan,Yarmukam Ganderba, Laam Tral, Damhal Anantnag,Bonpora Shopian Kalaroos kupwara, Bijihama Uri, Anchiodora Anantnag and Dara Srinagar representing three different altitudes viz., high, medium and low of Kashmir region. Before the collection of bees, hive entrance was closed and the worker bees were handpicked gently one by one. Foraging bees were placed in killing bottles and accordingly anaesthetised with ethyl acetate. The bees were preserved in 70 per cent ethyl alcohol until morphometric analysis.



Map 1. Geographical representation of sampling sites in Kashmir region

2.2 Sample Size

Nine stationary apiaries at different locations representing three altitudes of Kashmir region were selected for sampling. Five honey bee colonies per location were taken and from each colony ten bees were collected. Total number of bees per location were fifty. One hundred fifty bees per altitude were analysed for studying different morphometric traits.

2.3 Methodology for Measurement of Different Morphological Traits of Honey Bee *Apis mellifera* L.

17 morphological parts of *A. mellifera* L. were dissected out. The dissected parts were mounted on glass slides covered with cover slips. A stereo zoom microscope (Magnus INVI) equipped with Mag-vision software calibrated with micrometre lens, was used for measurement. Some parts like head, thorax, abdomen, hind wing and forewing were measured with the help of measuring scale (mm).

2.4 Morphometric Characters of Apis mellifera Studied

After carefully detaching the head of A. mellifera, it was placed on the slide. The height was measured from the anterior most part to the origin of various appendages and the maximum head width was measured from one side of compound eye to the other. Proboscis of A. mellifera was detached carefully with the help of forceps, placed on slide and measured from cardo with sub-mentum up to the end of labellum. The length of flagellum and total antennal length of A. mellifera was measured. The sum of length of scape, pedicel and flagellum was considered as total antennal length. The thorax length was measured from cervical portion up to the first abdominal segment dorsallv. whereas abdomen lenath were measured from anterior to posterior abdominal segment dorsally. Slides of the dissected fore wings from selected samples of bees were measured with the help of measuring scale. Length of wing was taken from the humeral angle to the apex and width was measured from coastal margin to anal angle. The cubital index of A. mellifera was calculated after measuring the length of two wing vein segments (A to B and B to C) of honey bees [24]. CI = BC/AB. BC = Distance between points B to C, AB = Distance between points A to B. The hind wing length was

measured from humeral angle to the apex while the width from costal margin to the anal angle. The number of hamuli were counted under microscope at magnification of 4x .The detached hind legs were analysed by measuring the length of femur, tibia and metatarsus. The breadth of metatarsus was also measured.The morphometric characters studied during the present investigations were based on the previous work of Ruttner et al. [21], Sakagami et al. [25] and Verma et al. [26] on adult worker bees of Apis mellifera L., A. cerana cerana F. and Apis dorsata F.Statistical analysis of the data was carried out by calculating colony means, standard deviation and covariance's of the morphometric characters. Further one way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) by SPSS software was applied for testing the significance of results. Significant results were compared on the basis of critical differences.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Head Height and Head Width

The data on head height and head width of Apis mellifera at different altitudes of Kashmir region is presented in Table 1. The perusal of data on head height revealed highest mean (3.27±0.01 mm) at Narastan of high altitude and lowest mean (3.14±0.07 mm) was recorded at Achidora at low altitude. Highest mean head width (3.71±0.08 mm) was recorded at Damhal at mid altitude and lowest mean (3.61±0.01 mm) was at Anchidora at low altitudes. At three altitudes the head height and head width ranged from 3.10-3.15 mm and 3.28-4.03 mm respectively. The data revealed highly significant difference in head height (CD=0.0101, p≤0.01) and significant difference in head width (CD=0.0101, p≤0.05) among three altitude. Non- significant variation in head height and head width was observed within the locations at each altitude.

3.2 Proboscis Length, Flagellum Length and Antennal Length

Same trend was followed by proboscis length, flagellum length and antennal length of Apis where highest proboscis mellifera length (6.37±0.02 mm), flagelleum length (3.81±0.01 mm), antennal length (6.11±0.01 mm) was recorded at Narastan at high altitude, respectively (Table 2). Lowest mean proboscis length (6.22±0.07 mm), flagellum length (5.63±0.03 mm) antennal length (3.31±0.03 mm)

was measured at Uri Bijihama, Dara and Anchidora at low altitude, respectively. At three altitudes, the proboscis length ranged from 6.20-6.76 mm, flagellum length from 3.22-3.89 mm and antennal length ranged from 5.44-6.12 mm. The perusal of data revealed highly significant difference in proboscis length (CD=0.0101, $p\leq0.01$), flagellum length (CD=0.0305, $p\leq0.01$) and antennal length (CD=0.0143, $p\leq0.01$) among three altitudes.

3.3 Thorax and Abdomen

The data on thorax length and abdomen length of Apis mellifera is presented in Table 3. Highest thorax length (4.30±0.01 mm) was measured at Narastan at high altitude. The lowest mean (4.15±0.07 mm) was recorded at Anchidora, Highest abdomen length (6.08±0.04 mm) was measured at yarmukaam at high altitude and lowest mean (5.55±0.02 mm) was recorded at Anchidora. At three altitudes, thorax length and abdomen length ranged from 3.89-4.56 mm and 5.26-6.89 mm, respectively. Highly significant difference in thorax length (CD=0.0143, p≤0.01) and abdomen length (CD=0.0305, p≤0.01) among three altitude was observed.

3.4 Fore Wing Length, Fore Wing Width, Cubital Index, Hind Wing Length, Hind Wing Width, Number of Hamuli

The data on forewing length, fore wing width, cubital index, hind wing length, hind wing width, number of hamuli of Apis mellifera at different altitudes in Kashmir region is presented in Table 4. The data revealed highest average forewing length (9.32±0.02 mm) of A. mellifera at Laam followed by Yarmugam and Narastan (9.29±0.07 and 9.23±0.07 mm) at high altitude. The lowest mean (9.17±0.06 mm) was recorded at Dara at low altitude. At three altitudes, the forewing length ranged from 8.24-9.67.15 mm. Forewing width was recorded highest (3.06±0.02 mm) at Laam at high altitude and lowest mean (2.96±0.28 mm) was recorded at Bonpora at mid altitude. The forewing width ranged from 2.09-3.31 mm. Cubital index, highest mean (2.55±0.08 mm) was recorded at Uri bijihama at low altitude and lowest mean (2.19±0.02 mm) at Bonpora at mid altitude. At three altitudes, the cubital index ranged from 2.05-2.55 mm. Highest hind wing length (6.59±0.03 mm) and hind wing width (1.82±0.08 mm) was recorded at Narastan at high altitude and lowest mean (6.19±0.06 mm) and (1.71±0.02 mm) was recorded at Uri

bijihama and Anchidora, respectively. At three altitudes, the hind wing length ranged from 6.01-6.99 mm and hind wing width ranged from 1.34-1.98 mm. Number of hamuli of Apis mellifera at different altitudes of Kashmir region revealed highest mean (23.88±0.06) at Dara at low altitude and lowest mean (18.64±0.28) was recorded at Damhal. At three altitudes, the number of hamuli ranged from 15-23. The perusal of data revealed highly significant difference in fore wing length (CD=0.0203, p≤0.01), significant difference in fore wing width (CD=0.0305, p≤0.05), highly significant difference in cubital index (CD=0.0380, p≤0.01), hind wing length (CD=0.0635, p≤0.01), hind wing width (CD=0.0176, p≤0.01) and number of hamuli (CD=0.0.4016, p≤0.01) among three altitude.

3.5 Femur Length, Tibia Length, Metatarsus Length, Metatarsus Breadth

The data on Femur length, tibia length, metatarsus length, metatarsus breadth of Apis mellifera at different altitudes in Kashmir region is presented in Table 5. Femur length of Apis mellifera at different altitudes of Kashmir region revealed highest mean (2.55±0.09 mm) at Narastan. The lowest mean (2.33±0.08 mm) was recorded at Uri bijihama at low altitude. At three altitudes, the femur length ranged from (2.21-3.35 mm). Tibia length was measured highest (2.94±0.01 mm) at Laam at high altitude. The lowest mean (2.80±0.08 mm) was recorded at Bonpora. At three altitudes, the tibia length ranged from (2.70-3.00 mm) Metatarsus length of Apis mellifera was recorded highest (1.96±0.02 mm) at Narastan. The lowest mean (1.78±0.08 mm) was recorded at Anchidora at low altitude. At three altitudes, the Metatarsus length ranged from (1.34-1.98 mm). Metatarsus breadth of Apis mellifera was also found highest mean (1.13±0.07 mm) at Narastan. The lowest mean (1.03±0.02 mm) was recorded at Dara at low altitude. Damhal, Bonpora and kalaroos of mid altitude recorded (1.07±0.04, 1.07±0.03, and 1.08±0.03 mm), respectively. At three altitudes, the metatarsus breadth ranged from (1.02-1.23 mm). The perusal of data revealed highly significant difference femur length in (CD=0.0203, p≤0.01), tibia length (CD=0.0143, p≤0.01), metatarsus length (CD=0.0176, P≤0.01) and metatarsus breadth (CD=0.0101, p≤0.01) among three altitude. Non-significant variation in femur length, tibia length, metatarsus length and breadth was observed within the locations at each altitude.

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	Over all mean	C.V	C.D (P=0.05)	P value
		Narastan	3.27±0.01	3.21-3.35		1.70		
	High	Laaam	3.21±0.04	3.21-3.34	3.23±0.01	6.81		
		Yarmukaaam	3.22±0.09	3.13-3.34		1.48		
		Damhal	3.18±0.05	3.12-3.23		0.82	0.0101	0.005**
Head	Mid	Bonpora	3.18±0.04	3.12-3.23	3.18±0.04	0.70		<0.01
height		Kalaroos	3.18±0.04	3.12-3.23		0.72		
		Uri Bijihama	3.15±0.07	3.10-3.23		1.15		
	Low	Anchidora	3.14±0.07	3.10-3.23	3.14±0.03	1.26		
		Dara	3.15±0.01	3.10-3.31		1.66		
		Narastan	3.64±0.02	3.28-3.81		3.33		
	High	Laaam	3.71±0.02	3.50-4.03	3.67±0.20	3.05		
	-	Yarmukaaam	3.68±0.01	3.50-4.00		2.39		
Head width		Damhal	3.71±0.08	3.60-3.76		1.19		
	Mid	Bonpora	3.70±0.08	3.60-3.76	3.70±0.03	1.10	0.0101	0.023*
		Kalaroos	3.70±0.09	3.60-3.76		1.25		<0.05
	Low	Uri Bijihama	3.62±0.01	3.45-3.78		2.68		
		Anchidora	3.61±0.01	3.45-3.76	3.62±0.08	2.58		
		Dara	3.64±0.02	3.45-3.91		3.46		

Table 1. Variability in dimensions of head of Apis mellifera L. at different altitudes in Kashmir region

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	Overall mean	C.V	C.D (P=0.05)	P value
	High	Narastan	6.37±0.01	6.23-6.67		1.46		
	altitude	Laaam	6.34±0.02	6.21-6.74	6.35±0.06	2.12		
		Yarmukaaam	6.35±0.02	6.23-6.76		1.93		
Proboscis	Mid	Damhal	6.27±0.08	6.24-6.45		0.71		
length	altitude	Bonpora	6.26±0.06	6.21-6.34	6.26±0.03	0.52	0.0101	0.001**
-		Kalaroos	6.26±0.09	6.23-6.43		0.74		<0.01
	Low	Uri Bijihama	6.22±0.07	6.20-6.34		0.56		
	altitude	Anchidora	6.23±0.07	6.21-6.34	6.22±0.03	0.57		
		Dara	6.23±0.07	6.32-6.43		0.76		
	High	Narastan	3.81±0.02	3.67-3.87		1.66		
	altitude	Laaam	3.78±0.01	3.71-3.89	3.79±0.08	1.55		
		Yarmukaaam	3.79±0.01	3.71-3.87		1.44		
Flagellum	Mid	Damhal	3.60±0.02	3.56-3.76		1.83		
length	altitude	Bonpora	3.58±0.01	3.54-3.66	3.60±0.01	0.94	0.0394	0.001**
		Kalaroos	3.64±0.03	3.55-3.87		2.71		<0.01
	Low	Uri Bijihama	3.64±0.03	3.22-3.45		3.62		
	altitude	Anchidora	3.33±0.02	3.22-3.45	3.42±0.01	3.20		
		Dara	3.31±0.02	3.45-3.91		2.61		
	High	Narastan	6.11±0.01	6.01-6.12		0.52		
	altitude	Laaam	6.09±0.01	6.01-6.12	6.09±0.03	0.52		
		Yarmukaaam	6.09±0.01	6.01-6.23		0.90		
Antennal	Mid	Damhal	5.95±0.01	5.87-5.98		0.78	0.0305	0.001**
length	altitude	Bonpora	5.93±0.01	5.87-5.98	5.94±0.05	0.80		<0.01
		Kalaroos	5.94±0.01	5.87-5.99		0.80		
	Low	Uri Bijihama	5.67±0.03	5.45-5.87		1.95		
	altitude	Anchidora	5.63±0.03	5.44-5.78	6.09±0.03	1.97		
		Dara	5.64±0.02	5.45-5.68		1.27		

Table 2. Variability in dimensions of proboscis, flagellum and antenna of Apis mellifera L. at different altitudes in Kashmir region

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	Over all mean	C.V	C.D P=0.05	P value
		Narastan	4.30±0.01	4.2-4.42		1.51		
	High	Laaam	4.27±0.01	4.2-4.44	4.28±0.08	1.48		
		Yarmukaaam	4.28±0.01	4.2-4.56		1.80		
		Damhal	4.24±0.02	3.89-4.44		2.54		
Thorax	Mid	Bonpora	4.24±0.09	4.12-4.33	4.23±0.03	1.15	0.0143	0.000**
length		Kalaroos	4.23±0.02	3.98-4.44		2.47		<0.01
		Uri Bijihama	4.16±0.05	4.14-4.23		0.69		
	Low	Anchidora	4.15±0.04	4.14-4.23	4.15±0.05	0.57		
		Dara	4.16±0.06	4.12-4.3		0.80		
		Narastan	6.03±0.03	5.89-6.92		3.09		
	High	Laaam	5.96±0.05	5.26-6.92	6.02±0.03	4.79		
	-	Yarmukaaam	6.08±0.04	5.89-6.8		3.75		
Abdomen		Damhal	5.81±0.04	5.45-6.04		3.44		
length	Mid	Bonpora	5.90±0.05	5.45-6.78	5.88±0.04	4.74	0.0431	0.001**
-		Kalaroos	5.95±0.04	5.67-6.98		3.86		<0.01
		Uri Bijihama	5.58±0.02	5.45-5.98		2.53		
	Low	Anchidora	5.55±0.02	5.45-5.98	5.56±0.08	2.25		
		Dara	5.57±0.02	5.45-5.98		2.29		

Table 3. Variability in dimensions of thorax and abdomen of Apis mellifera L. at different altitudes in Kashmir region

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	over all mean	C.V	C.D (P=0.05)	P value
		Narastan	9.23±0.07	8.24-9.83		4.29		
	High	Laaam	9.32±0.02	9.01-9.67	9.28±0.02	1.44		
		Yarmukaaam	9.29±0.07	8.24-9.91		4.27		
		Damhal	9.20±0.02	9.12-9.19		0.15	-	
Fore wing	Mid	Bonpora	9.21±0.03	8.24-9.19	9.21±0.01	2.02	0.0203	0.003**
length		Kalaroos	9.21±0.01	8.78-9.34		0.98	_	<0.01
		Uri Bijihama	9.18±0.06	9.12-9.23		0.36		
	Low	Anchidora	9.17±0.08	9.10-9.25	9.17±0.05	0.44		
		Dara	9.17±0.06	9.23-9.21		0.35		
		Narastan	3.03±0.02	2.90-3.50		3.36		
	High	Laaam	3.06±0.02	2.99-3.50	3.04±0.08	4.36		
		Yarmukaaam	3.04±0.01	2.99-3.50		3.27	_	
Forewing		Damhal	2.97±005	2.55-3.09		2.75	0.0305	0.047*
width	Mid	Bonpora	2.96±0.28	2.34-3.09	2.97±0.01	4.74		<0.05
		Kalaroos	3.00±0.07	2.94-3.09		1.22	_	
		Uri Bijihama	3.04±0.04	2.90-3.89		7.20		
	Low	Anchidora	3.00±0.04	2.09-3.31	3.00±0.02	7.01		
		Dara	2.97±0.01	2.67-3.10		2.41		
		Narastan	2.30±0.01	2.20-2.55		3.58		
	High	Laaam	2.29±0.01	2.16-2.55	2.29±0.03	4.17		
		Yarmukaaam	2.29±0.01	2.20-2.55		3.52		
Cubital index		Damhal	2.19±0.08	2.05-2.23		2.01		0.001**
	Mid	Bonpora	2.19±0.02	2.08-2.34	2.19±0.03	2.33	0.0380	<0.01
		Kalaroos	2.20±0.06	2.21-2.25		1.50		
	Low	Uri Bijihama	2.55±0.08	2.42-2.55		1.71		
		Anchidora	2.55±0.01	2.08-2.55	2.55±0.08	3.95		
		Dara	2.55±0.02	2.21-2.55		4.70		

Table 4. Variability in dimensions of fore wing and hind wing of Apis mellifera L. at different altitudes in Kashmir region

Siraj et al.; IJECC, 12(11): 3507-3523, 2022; Article no.IJECC.93225

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	over all mean	C.V	C.D (P=0.05)	P value
		Narastan	6.59±0.03	6.11-6.99		3.00		
	High	Laaam	6.55±0.03	6.20-6.98	6.55±0.01	3.00		
		Yarmukaaam	6.53±0.01	6.33-6.78		1.95		
		Damhal	6.35±0.02	6.06-6.55		1.81		
Hind wing	Mid	Bonpora	6.36±0.02	6.06-6.56	6.35±0.05	1.66	0.0635	0.001**
length		Kalaroos	6.35±0.02	6.06-6.55		1.88		<0.01
		Uri Bijihama	6.19±0.06	6.01-6.23		0.52		
	Low	Anchidora	6.21±0.09	6.01-6.24	6.20±0.06	0.75		
		Dara	6.21±0.09	6.01-6.24		0.78		
		Narastan	1.82±0.08	1.72-1.92		2.40		
	High	Laaam	1.80±0.04	1.67-1.88	1.81±0.06	2.89		
		Yarmukaaam	1.82±0.01	1.56-198		4.80		
Hind wing		Damhal	1.75±0.08	1.67-1.87		2.34		
width	Mid	Bonpora	1.76±0.07	1.68-1.87	1.75±0.03	2.08	0.0176	0.001**
		Kalaroos	1.75±0.01	1.56-1.98		4.03		<0.01
		Uri Bijihama	1.73±0.01	1.56-1.89		3.52		
	Low	Anchidora	1.71±0.02	1.34-1.87	1.72±0.05	7.79		
		Dara	1.72±0.01	1.56-1.73		3.03		
		Narastan	20.64±0.18	20-23	20.66±0.02	4.39		
	High	Laaam	20.64±0.18	20-23		4.39		
		Yarmukaaam	20.72±0.18	20-23		4.51		
No. of Hamuli		Damhal	18.64±0.28	15-21		7.72		
	Mid	Bonpora	18.68±0.24	15-21	18.86±0.2	6.68	0.4016	0.001**
		Kalaroos	19.28±0.23	15-21		6.08		<0.01
	Low	Uri Bijihama	23.44±0.19	21-24		7.72		
		Anchidora	23.44±0.15	22-24	23.58±0.14	3.27		
		Dara	23.88±0.06	23-24		1.38		

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	Over all mean	C.V	C.D (P=0.05)	P value
		Narastan	2.55±0.09	2.50-2.67		1.92		
	High	Laaam	2.53±0.01	2.34-2.66	2.53±0.01	2.46		
		Yarmukaaam	2.51±0.01	2.34-2.66		2.76		
		Damhal	2.38±0.01	2.22-2.45		2.81		
Femur length	Mid	Bonpora	2.42±0.01	2.22-2.52	2.41±0.05	3.01		.001**
		Kalaroos	2.43±0.01	2.22-2.56		3.10	0.0203	<0.01
		Uri Bijihama	2.33±0.08	2.21-2.45		1.91		
	Low	Anchidora	2.38±0.04	2.21-3.35	2.35±0.05	8.7		
		Dara	2.34±0.01	2.21-2.45		2.16		
		Narastan	2.93±0.06	2.87-2.98		1.03		.001**
	High	Laaam	2.94±0.01	2.78-3.00	2.93±0.03	1.70		<0.01
		Yarmukaaam	2.93±0.07	2.87-2.99		1.26	0.0143	
Tibia length		Damhal	2.82±0.01	2.75-2.98		1.83		
	Mid	Bonpora	2.80±0.01	2.71-2.94	2.81±0.05	1.93		
		Kalaroos	2.81±0.08	2.75-2.89		1.47		
		Uri Bijihama	2.86±0.01	2.76-2.94		2.15		
	Low	Anchidora	2.87±0.01	2.70-2.99	2.87±0.03	2.80		
		Dara	2.88±0.01	2.76-2.98		1.74		
		Narastan	1.96±0.02	1.95-1.98		0.52		
	High	Laaam	1.95±0.05	1.87-1.98	1.95±0.05	1.34		
		Yarmukaaam	1.94±0.05	1.86-1.98		1.51		.001**
		Damhal	1.83±0.08	1.67-1.89		2.31	0.0176	<0.01
	Mid	Bonpora	1.83±0.01	1.67-1.98	1.83±0.01	3.77		
Metatarsus		Kalaroos	1.82±0.08	1.67-1.88		2.37		
length		Uri Bijihama	1.78±0.09	1.67-1.89		2.52		
	Low	Anchidora	1.78±0.08	1.74-1.98	1.78±0.03	2.23		
		Dara	1.79±0.08	1.74-1.88		2.30		

Table 5. Variability in dimensions of hind leg (femur, tibia, metatarsus length and breadth) of *Apis mellifera* L. at different altitudes in Kashmir region

Siraj et al.; IJECC, 12(11): 3507-3523, 2022; Article no.IJECC.93225

Parameters	Altitudes	Locations	Mean±S.E (mm)	Range	Over all mean	C.V	C.D (P=0.05)	P value
	High	Narastan	1.13±0.07	1.1-1.23	1.12±0.05	3.20	0.0101	0.001**
Metatarsus	-	Laaam	1.12±0.08	1.05-1.23		3.64		<0.01
breadth		Yarmukaaam	1.11±0.04	1.08-1.23		2.38		
	Mid	Damhal	1.07±0.04	1.2-1.09		2.01		
		Bonpora	1.07±0.03	1.04-1.10	1.07±0.03	1.41		
		Kalaroos	1.08±0.03	1.04-1.12		1.51		
	Low	Uri Bijihama	1.04±0.03	1.02-1.09		1.66		
		Anchidora	1.04±0.03	1.02-1.09	1.04±0.00	1.70		
		Dara	1.03±0.02	1.02-1.08		1.29		

S.E = Standard error, C.V= Coefficient of variation, C.D = Critical Difference, P=threshold of Significance, $P \le 0.01(**)$ difference highly significant, $P \le 0.05(*)$ difference significant, $P \ge 0.05$ ns (Non-Significant)

4. DISCUSSION

Earlier too, morphometric studies were carried out by diferent Indian workers. Kshirsagar [27] on Apis cerana (Pune), Ananda [28] on A. cerana (Bangalore), Mujumdar and Kshirsagar [29] on A. dorsata (Pune), Makhmoor [30] on four honey bee species (Jammu), Ibrahim et al. [31] on Apis mellifera (Himachal Pradesh) and Makkar et al. [32] on Apis mellifera jemenitica (Yemen). Our findings are in conformity with all these above mentioned studies. Sharma [33], measured head height of A. mellifera as 3.19 mm which is near to average head height at mid altitude in Kashmir region as per the present findings. The proboscis length of various geographical races of honey bees has shown considerable variations. Ruttner [16] worked on proboscis length of different races of A. mellifera viz., Australia (6.40 mm), Hungary (6.43 mm), Romania (6.39 mm) and Greece (6.57 mm) which substantiate the present findings on proboscis length wherein slight variations might be due to different geographical races. On subspecies level, the proboscis length reported to be 6.07±1.3, 6.41±0.7, was 6.64±0.70, 6.35±0.79, 7.06±1.5, 6.28±0.89, 6.43±1.7 and 6.41±1.80 mm in A. mellifera mellifera, A. mellifera carnica, A. mellifera ligustica, A. mellifera caucasica, A. mellifera armeniaca. A. mellifera meda. A. mellifera anatoliaca and Α. mellifera pomonella, respectively [34]. The proboscis length observed at High altitude as 6.35±0.06 in the present investigations is in close proximity of A. mellifera ligustica. In India, different workers have reported the proboscis length of A. mellifera as 6.37±0.05 [33] and 7.00 mm [35]. Ajao et al. [36] reported the average antenna length of A. mellifera as 5.48±0.015 which is near to average antenna length at mid altitude in Kashmir region. Dyer and Seeley [37] reported the fore wing length in A. mellifera of different attitudes between 7.64 to 9.70 mm. Jevtic et al. [38] reported fore wing length ranged from 7.81 to 10.37 mm and width from 2.12 to 3.75 mm from different parts of Serbia. Alattal et al. [39] reported the length of forewing of 3 clusters of local Saudi honey bees as 8.79 ± 0.22, 8.31 ± 0.19 and 8.04 ±0.12 and width of fore wing as 3.05 ± 0.22, 2.86 ± 0.06 and 2.76 ± 0.05 mm. Our findings are in congruence with the report of Bouzeraa et al. [40] who measured cubital index of Apis mellifera at three different sites of Algeria as 2.08±0.20, 2.50±0.34 and 2.53±0.33. The mean cubital index 2.55±0.08 mm of low altitude in Kashmir region was found same as A. mellifera ligustica. AL-Kahtani and Taha [41]

reported that with the exception of the number of hamuli, worker Yemeni bee body size and morphometric parameters related to the colony productivity were smaller than Carniolan bees under environmental conditions of the study region. Earlier, Sharma [33] reported the femur length in A. mellifera as 2.41±0.05 mm which is same to the average femur length at mid altitude the present findings (2.41±0.05 mm). of Bouzeraa et al. [40] measured femur length at three different sites of Algeria as 3.87±0.16, 3.71±0.09 and 3.86±0.12 mm which is higher than present findings. According to their results, values obtained are closer to giant bee (Apis dorsata). However, El-Aw et al. [42] found smaller length (2.22 mm) of femur in Egypt in same bee species. The higher length of femur ranging .from 2.60- 2.73 mm has also been reported for different races of A. mellifera [43,44]. The tibial length in A. mellifera has been reported by different workers as 3.01 ± 0.10 for Indian population [33], 2.83±0.08 mm for Egyptian population and 3.03- 3.22 mm for Iranian population [44] and 3.16 to 3.18 mm for bees population in Turkey [43]. In other studies the dimension of metatarsus were also observed to be (length 2.00 to 2.44 mm, width 1.08 to 1.19 mm) for the same bee species [45,44,42]. Intraspecific variation in body size may reflect quality of the environment Banaszak et al. [46] and worker body weight is altered by the availability of nectar and pollen resources [4,47] and season [48]. Ahmad and Bilal [49] who found positive correlation of bee size with increase in altitude. Narayanan et al. [50] also observed a definite trend of variation in A. cerana from plains to hills. These observations lend support to present investigation that altitude has impact. Fernando [51] observed tongue length of bees significantly longer in mountainous districts than lowlands. Present findings also draw the support from Kshirsagar [52] who reported the bigger size of antenna at higher elevations. This is because of the fact that bees found at the higher elevations require longer antenna in order to have better sense perception, so as to cope with the larger environmental disturbances at these altitudes [53]. Mattu and Verma [54,55] reported significant and positive correlation of length of postmentum with altitude. Narayanan et al. [56,57] reported smaller number of hooks in bees at higher altitudes. Hepburn et al. [58] also found smaller number of hooks from Northeast Himalayan region. Size of hind lea especially metatarsus affect the pollen carrying capacity of honey bee [16]. In Kashmir region, significant differences were observed only in length of femur and breadth of metatarsus of *Apis cerana*. Bees of mountainous zone also showed significantly higher values for these characters as compared to those of sub mountainous zone of Kashmir region [49]. Bouzeraa et al. [40] reported highly significant effect concerning the length of femur, tibia, metatarsus (p≤0.001) and significant effect concerning to the width of metatarsus (p≤0.05) on bees coming from three different sites of Algeria. Verma et al. [26] also found bigger size of hind leg at higher elevations as compared to the plain area.

5. CONCLUSION

The morphometric variations found in Apis mellifera L. in Kashmir with respect to altitude particularly, body size, tongue length, length of antenna, number of hamuli, length of femur could have a significant impact in future bee breeding programmes. The mean proboscis length (6.35±0.06 mm) of high altitude, mean forewing length (9.21±0.01 mm) of mid altitude, mean cubital index of low altitude (2.55±0.08 mm) were found same to Apis mellifera ligustica when compared with data as reported by Ruttner [16]. The other characters, however, were different in measurement which imply presence of subspecies other than A. mellifera ligustica at different altitudes. Hence, Apis melilifera L deferring in their morphology in relation to their environment and the use of their morphological characters is highly important in discriminating among the sub-species and in preserving the honey bee biodiversity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge to the Head, Department of Entomology, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agriculture Science and Technology, Wadura, Sopore, Jammu and Kashmir, for providing necessary facilities to carry out the research. Also we wish to acknowledge the bee keepers of Valley for allowing us to collect bees from their bee hives.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Miguel I, Baylac M, Iriondo M, Manzano C, Garnery L, Estonba A. Both geometric morphometric and microsatellite data consistently support the differentiation of the *Apis mellifera* M evolutionary branch. Apidologie. 2011;42:150–161.
- Engel MS. The taxonomy of recent and fossil honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae; *Apis*). Journal of Hymenoptera Research. 1999;8:165–196.
- 3. Alburaki M, Alburaki A. Morphometrical study on Syrian honey bees *(Apis mellifera syriaca)*. Emirate Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2008;20(1):89-93.
- Helal RM, El-Dakhakhni TN, Shawer MB, Taha EKA. Effect of moving the apiaries on activity of honeybee colonies.
 2- flight activity, gathering of nectar and sugar concentration contents and honey. J Agric Res Tanta Univ. 2003;29: 268–282.
- 5. Roulston TH, Cane JH. Pollen nutritional content and digestibility for animals. Plant Syst Evol. 2000;222:187–209.
- Zheng B, Wu Z, Xu B. The effects of dietary protein levels on the population growth, performance, and physiology of honeybee workers during early spring. J Insect Sci. 2014;14:1–4.
- Al-Kahtani SN, Taha EKA. Morphometric studies on dwarf honeybee *Apis florea* F. workers in Saudi Arabia. J Apic Sci. 2014;58:127–134.
- Charistos L, Hatjina F, Bouga M, Mladenovic M, Maistros AD. Morphological discrimination of greek honeybee populations based on geometric morphometrics analysis of wing shape. J Apic Sci. 2014;58:75–84.
- Kuliçi M, Kume K. Study on morphometric traits of the Albanian bees. Albanian J Agric Sci. 2014;(Special edition):419– 425.
- Mostajeran M, Mohammad AE, Mohammad RB. Analysis of colony and morphological characters in honey bees *Apis mellifera meda. Pakistan* Journal of Biological Sciences. 2006;9(14):2685-2688.
- 11. Horomitz RFA. Inbreeding effects in flight muscle mitochonidria of *Apis mellifera*. *Rev. Brasil.* Genet. 1983;6:59-70.

- 12. Ajao AM. Comparative studies on ecology and morphometrics of reared and feral honeybees in geological zones of Kwara State, Nigeria. Phd Thesis submitted to Federal University of Agriculture. Apidologie. 2012;1-57.
- 13. Hepburn HR, Radloff SE. Honey bees of Africa. Springer, Berlin. 1998;312.
- Abou-Shaara HF, Draz KA, Al-Aw M, Eid K. Simple method in measuring honey bee morphological characters. In: Proceedings of the 42nd International Apiculture cong-Apimondia. Buenos Aires. 2011;222.
- 15. Buco SM, Rinderer TE, Sylvester HA. Morphometric diferences between south American Africanized and South African (*Apis mellifera scutellata*) honey bees. Apidologie. 1987;18:217–222.
- Ruttner F. Biogeography and Taxonomy of Honey bees. Springer-Verlag. 1988; 251.
- 17. Tofilski A. Using geometric morphometries and standard morphometry to discriminate three honey bee subspecies. Apidologie. 2008;39(5):558-563.
- Bouga M, Alaux C, Bienkowska M, Büchler R, Carreck NL, Cauia E, Chlebo R, Wilde J. A review of methods for discrimination of honey bee populations as applied to European beekeeping. J Apicult Res. 2011;50(1):51–84.
- Alpatov WW. Biometric studies on variation and races of the honey bee *Apis mellifera* L. Quarterly Review of Bilogy. 1929;4:1-57.
- Cornuet JM, Fresnaye J, Tassencourt L. Discrimination and classification of honeybee populations by means of biometric characters. Apidologie. 1975;6: 145-187.
- 21. Ruttner F, Tassencourt L, Louveaux J. Biometrical statistical analysis of the geographic variability of *Apis mellifera* L. Apidologie. 1978;9(4):363-381.
- 22. Meixner MD, Worobik M, Wilde J, Fuchs S, Koeniger N. *Apis mellifera mellifera* in Eastern European morphometric variation. Apidologie. 2007;38(1):1-7.
- 23. Oldroyd BP, Wongsiri S. Asian honey bees: Biology, conservation and human interactions. Harvard University Press, Harvard. 2006;360.
- 24. Goetze G. Variability of the ants and honey bees with special consideration of

longitivity. Archive for Bees Customers. 1930;11:135-274.

- 25. Sakagami SF, Matsumura T, Ito K. *Apis laboriosa* in the Himalaya, the little known world largest honey bee (Hymenptera: Apidea). Insecta Matsumurana. 1980;19: 47-77.
- 26. Verma LR, Kafle GP, Sharma A, Mattu VK, Daly HV. Multivariate morphometries of the Indian honey bee in the North West Himalayan region. Apidologie. 1990;25: 203-223.
- 27. Kshirsagar KK. Morphometric studies on *Apis cerana indica* worker. III Efect of geographical position on morphometric characters. Indian Bee J. 1981;43(1):1–5.
- 28. Ananda MR. Studies on morphological characters and behavoural traits of Indian honeybee, *Apis cerana indica* F. from diferent parts of Karnataka. M.Sc. Thesis, UAS, Bangalore; 2000.
- 29. Mujumdar S, Kshirsagar KK. Morphometric characterization of *Apis dorsata* Fabr. worker. Indian Bee J. 1986;48:25–29.
- Makhmoor HD, Ahmad H. Biometric studies on four species of honey bees in Jammu region, India. Indian Bee J. 2001;60:141–142.
- Ibrahim MM, Chandel YS. Morphometrics of *Apis mellifera* after five decades of its introduction in North-Western Himalayan region of India. Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2017 Aug 1;49(4).
- 32. Makkar GS, Chhuneja PK, Singh J. Morphometric characterization of Apis species (Hymenoptera: Apoidea) Vegetos; 2020.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-020-00136-3

- Sharma SK. Biometric and developmental biology of *Apis mellifera* L. workers, M.Sc thesis submitted to Department of Entomology, Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur India. 1990;1-56.
- 34. Sheppard WS, Meixner MD. *Apis mellifera pomonella*, a new honey bees subspecies from Central Asia. Apidologie. 2003;34: 367-375.
- Jagannadham G, Goyal NP. 1983. Morphological and behavioural characteristics of honeybees workers reared in combs with larger cells. 2nd Int. Conf. Apic. Trap. Climates, New Dehli, India. 1980;238-253.

- Ajao MA, Oladimeji U, Idowu BA, Babatunde KS, Obembe A. Morphological characteristics of *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Kwara State, Nigeria. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;4:171-175.
- Dyer FC, Seeley TD. Interspecific comparisons of endothermy in honey bees {Apis}: deviations from the expected size related patterns. Journal of Experimental Biology. 1987;127:1-26.
- Jevtic G, Mladenovic M, Lugic Z, Sokolovic D. Morphological and production characteristics of Cariolan honey bee {*Apis mellifera carnica* Poll.) from different parts of Serbia. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry. 2007;23(5-6):609-617.
- Alattal Y, Ghamdi AH, Sharhi AM, Fuchs S. Morphometric characterisation of the native Honey bee, Apis mellifera L.1758, of Saudi Arabia. Zoology in the Middle East. 2014;60:226-235.
- Bouzeraa H, Achou M, Sellami H, Slotani. Study of the morphometric diversity of the population of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) in North-East Algeria. European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2016;6:6-16.
- 41. AL-Kahtani SN, Taha E-KA. Morphometric study of Yemeni (*Apis mellifera jemenitica*) and Carniolan (*A. m. carnica*) honeybee workers in Saudi Arabia. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(2):e0247262.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal

- 42. El-Aw MA, Draz KA, Bid KSA, Abo-Shara H. Measuring the Morphological characters of honey bee *(Apis mellifera* L.) using a simple Semi-automatic technique. Journal of American Science. 2012;8(3):558-564.
- 43. Gencer HV, Baspinar E, Fira IC. The graphic evaluations of morphological charactes in hoenybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) by Chernoff Faces. Tarim Bilimleri Dergisi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2004; 10(3):245-249.
- 44. Adl MBF, Gencer HV, Firatii C, Bahreini R. Morphometric characterization of Iranian (*Apis mellifera meda*) Central Anatolian (*Apis mellifera anatoliaca*) and Caucasian {*Apis mellifera caucasica*) honeybees populations. Journal of Apicultural Research and Bee World. 2007;46(4):225-231.
- 45. Alqarni AS, Hannan MA, Owayss AA, Engel MS. The indigenous honey bees of Saudi Arabia (Hymenoptera, Apidae, *Apis*

mellifera jemenitica Ruttner): Their natural history and role in beekeeping. ZooKeys. 2011;134:83-98.

- 46. Banaszak-Cibicka W, Fliszkiewicz M, Langowska A, Żmihorski M. Body size and wing asymmetry in bees along an urbanization gradient. Apidologie. 2018;49: 297–306.
- 47. Szentgyörgyi H, Czekońska K, Tofilski A. Influence of pollen deprivation on the fore wing asymmetry of honeybee workers and drones. Apidologie. 2016;47: 653–662.
- 48. Kunert K, Crailsheim K. Seasonal changes in carbohydrate, lipid and protein content in emerging worker honeybees and their mortality. J Apic Res. 1988;27: 13–21.
- Ahmad S, Bilal S. Morphometric variations and expression of body colour pattern of honey bee, *Apis cerana* F. in Kashmir. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5(4):364-371.
- 50. Narayanan ES, Sharma PL, Phadke KG. Tongue length and number of hooks on the hind wings of Apis indica F. Indian Bee Journal. 1960;22:81-88.
- 51. Fernando EFW. Some biometrical features of *Apis cerana* F. from Sri Lanka. Indian Bee Journal. 1979;41:5-8.
- Kshirsagar KK. Comparative biometric studies on Indian honeybees. III. Preliminary observations on biometry of *Apis cerana* F. queen. Indian Bee Journal. 1973;35:21–26.
- 53. Szabo TI. Morphometric characteristics on *Apis cerana* from Sri Lanka. Apidologie. 1990;2:505-509.
- 54. Mattu VK, Verma LR. Comparative morphometric studies on the Indian honey bee of the North-West Himalayas 2 wings. Journal of Apicultural Research. 1984a; 23(1):1-10.
- 55. Mattu VK, Verma LR. Comparative morphometric studies on the Indian honey bee *Apis cerana indica* F., effect of seasonal variations. Apidologie. 1984b;15: 63-74.
- 56. Narayanan ES, Sharma PL, Phadke KG. Studies on biometry of the Indian bees. III. Tongue length and number of hooks on the hind wings of *Apis indica* F. collected from Madras State. Indian Bee Journal. 1961a;23:3–9.
- 57. Narayanan ES, Sharma PL, Phadke KG. Studies on biometry of the Indian bees. IV.

Tongue length and number of hooks on the hind wings of *Apis indica* F. collected from Uttar Pradesh. Indian Bee Journal. 1961b:23:69–74.

58. Hepburn HR, Radloff SE, Verma S. Morphometric analysis of *Apis cerana* population in the Southern Himalayan region. Apidologie. 2001;32:435-444.

© 2022 Siraj et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93225