



# Effect of Crop Establishment Methods and Nano Urea Compared to Urea on Quality and Nutrient Uptake in Rice Crop (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Kamaram Azam <sup>a++\*</sup>, A.K. Singh <sup>a#</sup>, Manju Singh <sup>a++</sup>,  
Vikash Singh <sup>a++</sup>, Mohammad Nabi Marufi <sup>a++</sup>  
and Neeraj Kumar <sup>b#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Ayodhya- 224229, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh- 224229, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i72612>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118499>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/04/2024

Accepted: 17/06/2024

Published: 24/06/2024

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season 2022 and 2023 at Agronomy research farm, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, (India). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with thrice replications. Taking three crop establishment methods Direct Seeded Rice, System of Rice Intensification (SRI) and

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [anilkumarsinghmausam@nduat.org](mailto:anilkumarsinghmausam@nduat.org);

**Cite as:** Azam, Kamaram, A.K. Singh, Manju Singh, Vikash Singh, Mohammad Nabi Marufi, and Neeraj Kumar. 2024. "Effect of Crop Establishment Methods and Nano Urea Compared to Urea on Quality and Nutrient Uptake in Rice Crop (*Oryza Sativa* L.)". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (7):586-98. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i72612>.

Transplanted Rice in main plot and seven nitrogen levels control, 100 % RDN through conventional Urea, 100 % RDN through Nano Urea, 80 % RDN through conventional Urea + 20 % RDN through Nano Urea, 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % through Nano Urea, 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % through Nano Urea and 20 % RDN through conventional Urea + 80 % through Nano Urea in sub plot. Results showed that significantly higher NPK content, NPK uptake protein content and protein yield was recorded in application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % through Nano Urea which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea significantly superior over rest of the treatment during both the years of investigations.

**Keywords:** Protein content; protein yield; NPK uptake; nano urea; conventional urea.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) a member of the Poaceae family, it is said to have come from South-East Asia. In both regions of the temperate region and the tropics, it is one of the most significant cereal crops” of Mohapatra et al. [1]. “The cultivated species are *Oryza sativa* and *Oryza glaberrima*. *Oryza sativa* is grown all over the world while *Oryza glaberrima* has been cultivated in West Africa for the last 3500 years. The production of rice in the world is about 509.26 million metric tons with the productivity of 4.60 metric tons ha<sup>-1</sup> having area 165.21 million ha” [2]. “In India, rice is cultivated in the area of 450.57 Lakh ha with an annual production of 122.27 million tons and average productivity of 2713 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>” [3]. “It is a high caloric food, which contain 75% starch, 6-7% protein, 2-2.5% fat, 0.8% cellulose and 5-9% ash” [4,5,6].

“The System of Rice Intensification, known by its acronym ‘SRI’, is gaining popularity among paddy farmers in several states. This method has the potential to improve productivity of land, capital, water and labour simultaneously. Transplanting in puddled soil is the most dominant and traditional method of rice establishment in irrigated low land ecosystem” by Wang et al. [7]. Puddling, reduce water infiltration and to maintain the standing water in the field, which also helps in reducing weed density, preventing leaching losses of plant nutrients, increases water retention capacity and facilitates easier transplanting. Direct seeding of rice is one of the methods of rice cultivation, which refers to the process of establishing rice crop from seeds sown in the field rather than transplanting rice (TPR) seedlings from the nursery whereas aerobic rice cultivation is a practice of direct drilling of seeds in rows and maintaining aerobic conditions of the field under limited water availability.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during two consecutive seasons of *Kharif* 2022 and 2023 at the Agronomy Research Farm, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) which is situated on Ayodhya-Raebareli Road at the distance of 42 km from Ayodhya district head quarter. The experimental site falls under sub-tropical conditions with remarkable humidity and lies between 24.40 North latitude and 82.12° East longitudes with an altitude 113 meters above mean sea level. The experimental field was well leveled having good irrigation and drainage facilities. The source of irrigation was tube well. The experiment was layout in split plot design (SPD) with three replications taking three crop establishment methods direct seeded rice (DSR), System of Rice Intensification (SRI), transplanting rice in main plot and seven nitrogen level N<sub>1</sub>:Control, N<sub>2</sub>: 100 % RDN through conventional Urea, N<sub>3</sub>: 100 % RDN through Nano Urea, N<sub>4</sub>: 80 % RDN through conventional Urea + 20 % RDN through Nano Urea, N<sub>5</sub>: 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % through Nano Urea, N<sub>6</sub>: 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % through Nano Urea and N<sub>7</sub>: 20 % RDN through conventional Urea + 80 % through Nano Urea in sub plot. Soil was sampled before sowing and after harvest of the crop to know the fertility status of the experiment field.

### 2.1 Nutrient Content in Grain and Straw

Nitrogen content in grain and straw was analyzed by modified micro-Kjeldahl method [8] by digesting samples in sulphuric acid in a micro-Kjeldhal flask (digestion tube) on a hot plate. The distillation process was carried out using Nitrogen Analyzer and titration was carried out using digital burette.

Phosphorus content in grain and straw of rice crop was estimated by Vanadomolybdo

phosphoric acid yellow colour method [9] and the intensity of yellow colour was read with Spectrophotometer at 420 nm and the contents were expressed in terms of percentage phosphorus. Estimation of potassium content in grain and straw by flame emission photometry method [8] was used in di-acid digested samples and reported as percent potassium.

## 2.2 Nutrient Uptake by Grain and Straw

The nitrogen content in plant was determined by Kjeldahl's method [9]. "The grain and straw were separated and then grinded. The grinded material was digested in concentrated sulphuric acid using copper sulphate and potassium sulphate mixture as catalyst. The digested material was then distilled with 40 per cent sodium hydroxide and distillate was collected in boric acid containing the mixed indicator. The content was estimated by titrating the distillate against N/20 sulphuric acid. The nitrogen uptake was calculated by multiplying the dry weight with nitrogen content. In order to get total uptake of nitrogen, the uptake values for grain and straw were added together" [10]

"The phosphorus uptake was determined in the extract by Vando molybdate yellow color method. The optical density (OD) was measured with photoelectric colorimeter at 470nm. The content was estimated with calibration curve. The phosphorous uptake by grain and straw per hectare was calculated with the help of per cent value of phosphorus and yield of grain and straw. In order to get uptake of phosphorous, the uptake value for grain and straw were added together plot wise" [10].

The potassium content was determined with the help of flame photometer [8] and was estimated with calibration curve. Total uptake of potassium by rice grain and straw was calculated by multiplying their relative contents with yield and values were added to know the total uptake of potassium in kg/ha.

Grain uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) = Grain yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) x Nutrient content (%) in grain

Straw uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) = Straw yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) x Nutrient content (%) in straw

## 2.3 Protein Content in Grains

Protein content in grain was estimated by assessing total nitrogen (Kjeldahl's method) and

protein content was computed by multiplying the nitrogen content in grain with factor 6.25 [11].

Protein content (%) = Nitrogen content (%) x 6.25

## 2.4 Protein Yield (Kg/ha)

Protein yield of rice was calculated by multiplying the respective grain yield (kg/ha) with their protein content in grains divided by 100.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Nitrogen Content in Grins (%)

Data indicated that nitrogen content (%) in grain did not have any significant difference among the crop establishment methods during both the years of investigation was presented in Table 1. The highest nitrogen content in grain (1.203 % and 1.217 %) was recorded in SRI method during 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Perusal of the data revealed that nitrogen content (%) in grain differed significantly among the nitrogen levels during both the years of investigations. The highest nitrogen content in grains (1.26 % and 1.28 %) was recorded with application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with application of 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>), treatment during 2022 and 2023, respectively. Minimum nitrogen content in grain (1.16 % and 1.17 %) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) treatment during 2022 and 2023, respectively. The higher nitrogen content was mainly due to higher growth and development. This might be due to compatibility of the levels in atmospheric nitrogen fixation and higher biomass production. This finding was in conformity with that of Singh et al. [12]

### 3.2 Nitrogen Content in Straw (%)

Data revealed that nitrogen content (%) in straw did not have any significant difference among the crop establishment methods during both the years of investigation. In general, the highest nitrogen content in straw (0.444 % and 0.450 %) was recorded in SRI method during 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Perusal of the data revealed that nitrogen content (%) in straw differed significantly among the nitrogen levels during both the years of

investigation. The highest nitrogen content in straw (0.468 % and 0.474 %) was recorded with application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with application of 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) treatment during 2022 and 2023, respectively. However, Minimum nitrogen content in straw (0.415 % and 0.420 %) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) during both the years, respectively.

### 3.3 Nitrogen Uptake by Grins (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Data pertaining to as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels has been presented in Table 1. It is evident from the data that all the treatments brought significant influence on the nitrogen uptake by grains during the both years of experimentation.

The grains produced from SRI method (M<sub>2</sub>) was recorded with highest amount of nitrogen uptake (66.79 and 72.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was at par with transplanted (M<sub>3</sub>) while, significantly superior over the remaining crop establishment methods during both the years of investigation.

Among nitrogen levels, application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>) recorded with highest amount of nitrogen uptake (69.30 and 74.04 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatments during 2022 and 2023, respectively. However, the lowest nitrogen uptake by grains (42.58 and 46.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under control treatment (N<sub>1</sub>) during both years of experimentations. At the later growth stages of rice, the amount of N uptake was recorded an increase which might be due to the greater biomass production of as well as increased absorption. Sreenivas et al. [13] and Bakhtiar and Sakurai [14] also reported similarly.

Nutrient uptake is a function of dry matter production and is partly due to increase in nutrient concentration. However, there is a close relationship between the total uptake of nutrients with the grain yield and straw yield of rice crop. The higher nutrient uptake by rice was found to be increased with foliar application of nano urea which might be due to nano fertilizer have large surface area and particle size is less than the pore size of root and leaves of the plant which

increase their penetration into the plant from applied surface and improve nutrient uptake. These result findings were in close agreement with the findings of Lahari et al. [15], De Rosa et al. [16], Kumar et al. [17], Jhanzab et al. [18], Adhikari et al. (2014), Manikandan and Subramanian, [19], Khalil et al. [20] and Sahu et al. [21].

### 3.4 Nitrogen Uptake by Straw (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Data pertaining to nitrogen uptake by straw of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels has been presented in Table 1. It is evident from the data that all the treatments brought significant influence on the nitrogen uptake by straw during the both years of investigation.

Crop establishment method showed significant impact on nitrogen uptake by straw during both the years of investigation. The maximum nitrogen uptake in straw (34.84 and 37.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with SRI method (M<sub>2</sub>), which was at par with transplanted rice (M<sub>3</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatment during 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Among the nitrogen levels had significant effect on nitrogen uptake by straw during both the years of investigation. Highest amount of nitrogen uptake (35.97 and 38.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by straw was found with the application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) while, significantly higher than rest of the treatments. However, the lowest nitrogen uptake by straw (22.27 and 24.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) treatment. Such increase might be due to the higher grain yield resulting from the greater uptake of nitrogen through application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea. The results of the present investigation are in agreement with the findings of Raut et al. 2020.

### 3.5 Phosphorus Content in Grins (%)

Data pertaining to phosphorus content (%) in grain as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels has been presented in Table 2.

Data indicated that phosphorus content (%) in grain did not show any significant effect on crop

establishment methods during both the years of investigation. In general, the highest phosphorus content in grain (0.373 % and 0.377 %) was recorded in SRI method during 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Perusal of the data revealed that phosphorus content (%) in grain differed significantly among the nitrogen levels during both the years. The highest phosphorus content in grains (0.377 % and 0.380 %) was recorded with application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with N<sub>6</sub> and N<sub>7</sub> during both the years of investigation. However, Minimum phosphorus content in grain (0.252 % and 0.354 %) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) during both the years, respectively. The higher nitrogen content was mainly due to higher growth and development.

### 3.6 Phosphorus Content in Straw (%)

Data pertaining to phosphorus content (%) in straw as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels has been presented in Table 2.

Data revealed that phosphorus content (%) in straw did not have any significant difference among the crop establishment methods during both the years. In general, the highest phosphorus content in straw (0.099 % and 0.100 %) was recorded in SRI method during 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Perusal of the data revealed that phosphorus content (%) in straw differed significantly among the different nitrogen levels during both the years. The highest phosphorus content in straw (0.105 % and 0.106 %) was recorded with application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with N<sub>6</sub> and N<sub>7</sub> while, significantly superior over rest of the treatment during 2022 and 2023, respectively. However, Minimum phosphorus content in straw (0.094 % and 0.95 %) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) during both the years, respectively. Similar responses were observed by Singh et al. [22].

### 3.7 Phosphorus Uptake by Grains (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Data pertaining to phosphorus uptake by grains of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels has been presented in Table 2. It is evident from the data that crop establishment methods brought significant

influence on the phosphorus uptake by grains during the both years of experimentation.

The maximum phosphorus uptake in grains (20.74 and 22.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with SRI method (M<sub>2</sub>), which was at par with transplanted rice (M<sub>3</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatments during both the years.

The nitrogen levels had significant effect in respect of phosphorus uptake by grains (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) during both the years of investigation. Maximum phosphorus uptake by grains (21.10 and 22.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatment during 2022 and 2023, respectively. However, the minimum phosphorus uptake by grains (12.89 and 13.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) during both years of investigation. Uptake of phosphorus in grain and straw was significantly increased due to increased nitrogen levels. Increase in the uptake of phosphorus had significant impact by the application of nitrogen levels. Higher phosphorus uptake could be attributed to the higher availability of phosphorus in soil. These results were in conformity with the findings of Nishanth and Biswas [23].

The interaction effect of crop establishment methods of rice and nitrogen levels on potassium uptake in rice grain was found to be non-significant during both the years.

### 3.8 Phosphorus Uptake by Straw (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Data pertaining to phosphorus uptake by straw of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels has been presented in Table 2. It is evident from the data that crop establishment methods brought significant influence on the phosphorus uptake by straw during the both years of investigation.

The maximum phosphorus uptake in straw (7.75 and 8.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with SRI method (M<sub>2</sub>), which was at par with transplanted rice (M<sub>3</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatments during 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Among the nitrogen levels had significant effect in respect of phosphorus uptake by straw during both the years of investigations. Maximum

amount of phosphorus uptake by straw (8.02 and 8.61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with application of 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, the minimum phosphorus uptake by straw (5.05 and 5.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) during both the years of investigation. Uptake of phosphorus in grain and straw was significantly increased due to increased nitrogen. Increase in the uptake of phosphorus had significant impact by the application of nitrogen levels. Higher phosphorus uptake could be attributed to the higher availability of phosphorus in soil. These results were in conformity with the findings of Nishanth and Biswas [23]. The interaction effect of crop establishment methods of rice and nitrogen levels on potassium uptake in straw was found to be non-significant during both the years.

### 3.9 Potassium content in grain and straw of rice (%)

The data on potassium content in grain and straw in rice as influenced by establishment methods and nitrogen levels recorded for both the cropping seasons have been presented in Table 3.

A pertaining of data clearly indicated that establishment methods and nitrogen levels had non-significant effect on potassium content in grain and straw in rice during both the years of experiment. However, maximum potassium content in grain and straw in rice (0.330 and 0.334 %, 1.557 and 1.573 % during 2022 and 2023 respectively) recorded under SRI method.

Among the nitrogen levels 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>) recorded maximum potassium content in grain and straw in rice (0.337 and 0.339 %, 1.587 and 1.602 % during 2022 and 2023 respectively) followed by 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) during both years.

Potassium content in grain and straw as affected by different establishment methods and nitrogen levels were found to be non-significant during both the years of experimentation. Belder et al. [24] also reported the similar type of responses.

### 3.10 Potassium uptake by grains and straw of rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The data on potassium uptake by grain and straw in rice as influenced by establishment methods and nitrogen levels recorded both the cropping seasons have been presented in Tables 3, clearly indicated that establishment methods and nitrogen levels had significant effect on potassium uptake by grain and straw in rice during both the years of experiment.

Data further revealed that maximum potassium uptake by grain and straw in rice (18.3 and 19.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 121.9 and 131.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2022 and 2023, respectively) recorded under SRI method which was significantly higher than rest of the treatments.

Among the nitrogen levels 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>) recorded maximum potassium uptake by grain and straw in rice (18.80 and 20.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 127.2 and 134.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>), while significantly superior over rest of the treatments during 2022 and 2023, respectively. However, the minimum uptake of potassium by grain and straw (11.5, 12.5 and 80.6, 87.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under control (N<sub>1</sub>) during both the years of investigation during 2022 and 2023 respectively).

Methods of establishment treatment affected the significant effects on uptake of potassium through grain and straw of rice crop. The maximum potassium uptake was recorded under SRI method. It was due to the fact that in SRI having younger seedling could be attributed to increased root volume and root weight which might have enabled more absorption area. Similar result was also found by Arunbabu and Satya [25].

### 3.11 Protein Content in Grain (%)

Data pertaining to protein content in grain of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels have been given in Table 4.

A pertaining of data clearly indicated that establishment methods and nitrogen levels had non-significant effect on protein content in grain during both the years of experiment. However, maximum protein content in grain (7.52 % and 7.61 % during 2022 and 2023 respectively) recorded under SRI method.

**Table 1. Nitrogen content (%) and Nitrogen uptake (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels.**

| Treatments   | Nitrogen content (%) |              |              |              | Nitrogen uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |              |              |              |
|--|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|  | Grain                |              | Straw        |              | Grain                                  |              | Straw        |              |
|  | 2022                 | 2023         | 2022         | 2023         | 2022                                   | 2023         | 2022         | 2023         |
| Methods of establishment   |                      |              |              |              |  |              |              |              |
| M <sub>1</sub> : Direct Seeded Rice  | 1.183                | 1.197        | 0.431        | 0.436        | 47.25                                  | 50.29        | 25.26        | 26.83        |
| M <sub>2</sub> : System of Rice Intensification (SRI)                            | 1.203                | 1.217        | 0.444        | 0.450        | 66.79                                  | 72.20        | 34.84        | 37.50        |
| M <sub>3</sub> : Transplanted Rice   | 1.193                | 1.207        | 0.436        | 0.441        | 62.52                                  | 67.35        | 33.52        | 35.95        |
| SEm±   | 0.017                | 0.017        | 0.006        | 0.006        | 0.965                                  | 0.935        | 0.433        | 0.454        |
| CD at 5%   | NS                   | NS           | NS           | NS           | 3.89                                   | 3.77         | 1.75         | 1.83         |
| <b>N<sub>1</sub>: Control</b>  | <b>1.160</b>         | <b>1.170</b> | <b>0.415</b> | <b>0.420</b> | <b>42.58</b>                           | <b>46.27</b> | <b>22.27</b> | <b>24.25</b> |
| N <sub>2</sub> : 100 % RDN through conventional Urea                             | 1.170                | 1.180        | 0.431        | 0.436        | 56.57                                  | 60.56        | 30.30        | 32.43        |
| N <sub>3</sub> : 100 % RDN through Nano Urea                                     | 1.180                | 1.190        | 0.437        | 0.440        | 58.15                                  | 62.09        | 31.34        | 33.42        |
| N <sub>4</sub> : 80 % RDN through conventional Urea + 20 % RDN through Nano Urea | 1.170                | 1.180        | 0.436        | 0.439        | 55.93                                  | 59.77        | 30.25        | 32.33        |
| N <sub>5</sub> : 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea | 1.260                | 1.280        | 0.468        | 0.474        | 69.30                                  | 74.04        | 35.97        | 38.16        |
| N <sub>6</sub> : 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea | 1.220                | 1.240        | 0.446        | 0.451        | 65.30                                  | 71.55        | 34.39        | 37.37        |
| N <sub>7</sub> : 20 % RDN through conventional Urea + 80 % RDN through Nano Urea | 1.184                | 1.200        | 0.439        | 0.443        | 64.12                                  | 68.69        | 33.05        | 35.04        |
| SEm±   | 0.026                | 0.026        | 0.010        | 0.010        | 1.651                                  | 1.856        | 0.949        | 0.987        |
| CD at 5%   | 0.074                | 0.075        | 0.027        | 0.028        | 4.737                                  | 5.324        | 2.723        | 2.832        |

**Table 2. Phosphorus content (%) and Phosphorus uptake (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels.**

| Treatments   | Phosphorus content (%) |       |       |       | Phosphorus uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |       |       |       |
|--|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|-------|-------|-------|
|  | Grain                  |       | Straw |       | Grain                                    |       | Straw |       |
|  | 2022                   | 2023  | 2022  | 2023  | 2022                                     | 2023  | 2022  | 2023  |
| <b>Methods of establishment</b>  |                        |       |       |       |  |       |       |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> : Direct Seeded Rice  | 0.363                  | 0.364 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 14.49                                    | 15.29 | 5.71  | 6.00  |
| M <sub>2</sub> : System of Rice Intensification (SRI)                            | 0.373                  | 0.377 | 0.099 | 0.100 | 20.74                                    | 22.37 | 7.75  | 8.33  |
| M <sub>3</sub> : Transplanted Rice   | 0.368                  | 0.371 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 19.29                                    | 20.68 | 7.65  | 8.24  |
| SEm±   | 0.005                  | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.287                                    | 0.325 | 0.101 | 0.104 |
| CD at 5%   | NS                     | NS    | NS    | NS    | 1.16                                     | 1.31  | 0.41  | 0.42  |
| <b>Nitrogen Levels</b>   |                        |       |       |       |  |       |       |       |
| N <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 0.352                  | 0.354 | 0.094 | 0.095 | 12.89                                    | 13.99 | 5.05  | 5.47  |
| N <sub>2</sub> : 100 % RDN through conventional Urea                             | 0.360                  | 0.363 | 0.094 | 0.095 | 17.44                                    | 18.66 | 6.89  | 7.34  |
| N <sub>3</sub> : 100 % RDN through Nano Urea                                     | 0.371                  | 0.374 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 18.30                                    | 19.53 | 6.98  | 7.41  |
| N <sub>4</sub> : 80 % RDN through conventional Urea + 20 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.366                  | 0.368 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 17.45                                    | 18.69 | 7.01  | 7.46  |
| N <sub>5</sub> : 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.377                  | 0.380 | 0.105 | 0.106 | 21.10                                    | 22.36 | 8.02  | 8.61  |
| N <sub>6</sub> : 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.375                  | 0.378 | 0.101 | 0.102 | 20.10                                    | 21.84 | 7.87  | 8.45  |
| N <sub>7</sub> : 20 % RDN through conventional Urea + 80 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.375                  | 0.377 | 0.100 | 0.101 | 19.49                                    | 20.51 | 7.44  | 7.91  |
| SEm±   | 0.008                  | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.525                                    | 0.594 | 0.200 | 0.215 |
| CD at 5%   | 0.010                  | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.51                                     | 1.70  | 0.57  | 0.62  |



**Table 3. Potassium content (%) and Potassium uptake (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels.**

| Treatments   | Potassium content (%) |       |       |       | Potassium uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |      |       |       |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|---|------|-------|-------|
|  | Grain                 |       | Straw |       | Grain                                   |      | Straw |       |
|  | 2022                  | 2023  | 2022  | 2023  | 2022                                    | 2023 | 2022  | 2023  |
| <b>Methods of establishment</b>  |                       |       |       |       |   |      |       |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> : Direct Seeded Rice  | 0.323                 | 0.324 | 1.534 | 1.549 | 12.9                                    | 13.6 | 89.9  | 95.2  |
| M <sub>2</sub> : System of Rice Intensification (SRI)                            | 0.330                 | 0.334 | 1.557 | 1.573 | 18.3                                    | 19.8 | 121.9 | 131.0 |
| M <sub>3</sub> : Transplanted Rice   | 0.327                 | 0.330 | 1.549 | 1.563 | 17.2                                    | 18.4 | 119.1 | 127.4 |
| SEm±   | 0.005                 | 0.005 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.28                                    | 0.24 | 1.60  | 1.50  |
| CD at 5%   | NS                    | NS    | NS    | NS    | 1.12                                    | 0.95 | 6.46  | 6.03  |
| <b>Nitrogen Levels</b>   |                       |       |       |       |   |      |       |       |
| N <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 0.314                 | 0.316 | 1.501 | 1.516 | 11.5                                    | 12.5 | 80.6  | 87.5  |
| N <sub>2</sub> : 100 % RDN through conventional Urea                             | 0.315                 | 0.317 | 1.527 | 1.542 | 15.2                                    | 16.3 | 107.3 | 114.6 |
| N <sub>3</sub> : 100 % RDN through Nano Urea                                     | 0.326                 | 0.328 | 1.538 | 1.553 | 16.1                                    | 17.1 | 109.5 | 116.6 |
| N <sub>4</sub> : 80 % RDN through conventional Urea + 20 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.325                 | 0.327 | 1.527 | 1.542 | 15.5                                    | 16.6 | 105.9 | 113.0 |
| N <sub>5</sub> : 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.337                 | 0.339 | 1.587 | 1.602 | 18.8                                    | 20.0 | 127.2 | 134.4 |
| N <sub>6</sub> : 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.331                 | 0.334 | 1.578 | 1.593 | 18.0                                    | 19.6 | 121.7 | 131.9 |
| N <sub>7</sub> : 20 % RDN through conventional Urea + 80 % RDN through Nano Urea | 0.336                 | 0.338 | 1.569 | 1.584 | 17.3                                    | 18.4 | 117.8 | 124.1 |
| SEm±   | 0.007                 | 0.007 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.47                                    | 0.49 | 3.00  | 3.32  |
| CD at 5%   | NS                    | NS    | NS    | NS    | 1.34                                    | 1.40 | 8.61  | 9.52  |

**Table 4. Protein content in grain (%) and Protein yield of rice by crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels**

| Treatments   | Protein content in grain (%) |      | Protein yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |       |
|--|------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|-------|
|  | 2022                         | 2023 | 2022                              | 2023  |
| Methods of establishment   |                              |      |                                   |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> : Direct Seeded Rice  | 7.39                         | 7.48 | 295.0                             | 314.3 |
| M <sub>2</sub> : System of Rice Intensification (SRI)                            | 7.52                         | 7.61 | 417.4                             | 451.3 |
| M <sub>3</sub> : Transplanted Rice   | 7.46                         | 7.55 | 398.7                             | 435.9 |
| SEm±   | 0.11                         | 0.11 | 4.9                               | 4.1   |
| CD at 5%   | NS                           | NS   | 19.8                              | 16.7  |
| Nitrogen Levels  |                              |      |                                   |       |
| N <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 7.25                         | 7.31 | 265.4                             | 289.2 |
| N <sub>2</sub> : 100 % RDN through conventional Urea                             | 7.31                         | 7.38 | 353.6                             | 378.5 |
| N <sub>3</sub> : 100 % RDN through Nano Urea                                     | 7.38                         | 7.44 | 363.5                             | 388.1 |
| N <sub>4</sub> : 80 % RDN through conventional Urea + 20 % RDN through Nano Urea | 7.31                         | 7.38 | 349.6                             | 373.5 |
| N <sub>5</sub> : 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea | 7.75                         | 7.88 | 433.2                             | 462.7 |
| N <sub>6</sub> : 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea | 7.63                         | 7.75 | 408.1                             | 447.2 |
| N <sub>7</sub> : 20 % RDN through conventional Urea + 80 % RDN through Nano Urea | 7.56                         | 7.69 | 400.7                             | 429.3 |
| SEm±   | 0.16                         | 0.16 | 10.6                              | 11.1  |
| CD at 5%   | NS                           | NS   | 30.3                              | 31.7  |

Among the nitrogen levels 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>) recorded maximum protein content in grain (7.75 % and 7.88 % during 2022 and 2023 respectively) followed by application of 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) during both years.

Protein content in grains as affected by different establishment methods and nitrogen levels were found to be non-significant during both the years of experimentation. Parashivamurthy et al. [26] also reported the similar type of responses.

### 3.12 Protein Yield of Rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The data related to protein yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) has been presented in Table 4. A critical analysis of data indicated that protein yield varied significantly due to crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels during both the years. In general protein yield was more in second year as compared to first year [27].

Significantly higher protein yield of rice grain (417.4 and 451.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in SRI method (M<sub>1</sub>), which was statistically at par with transplanted rice (M<sub>3</sub>) while, significantly superior over rest of the treatments during both the experimental years.

The nitrogen levels had significantly influenced by protein yield of rice grain during both the years of investigation. The higher protein yield (432.2 and 462.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed with 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>5</sub>), which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea (N<sub>6</sub>) and significantly superior over rest of the treatments during both the experimental years. However, significantly lowest protein yield was observed under control (N<sub>1</sub>). Highest protein yield was recorded with 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano Urea might be due to higher healthy grain yield and nitrogen content in grain.

Protein yield as affected by different establishment methods and weed management practices were found to be significant during both the years of experimentation. Parashivamurthy et al. [26] also reported the similar type of responses.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that, 60 % RDN through conventional Urea + 40 % RDN through Nano

Urea which was at par with 40 % RDN through conventional Urea + 60 % RDN through Nano Urea treatment for different crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels was found better for all growth indices crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), and net assimilation rate (NAR) under transplanted rice.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Mohapatra PK, Sahu BB, Mohapatra PK, Sahu BB. Botany of Rice Plant. Panicle Architecture of Rice and its Relationship with Grain Filling. 2022:27-48.
2. FAS/USDA, Global Market Analysis, Foreign Agriculture Service- US Department of Agriculture, Washington; 2021-22.
3. Anonymous, Annual Report, Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi; 2021-22:4-5.
4. Nahar K, Pan WL. Urea Fertilization: Effects on Growth, Nutrient Uptake and Root Development of the Biodiesel Plant, Castor Bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). J. Exp. Agric. Int. 2014;5(4):320-35. Available: <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/740> [Accessed on: 2024 May 31]
5. Channavar VR, Ashoka K R, Fathima PS, Sanathkumar VB, Bhagyalakshmi T, Yogananda S B, Jakir Hussain K N, Jagadeesh B R. Assessing the Impact of Coated and Prilled Urea Fertilizers on Nitrogen Dynamics and Fodder Maize Yield in Alfisols. AJSSPN. 2024;10(2):288-300. Available: <https://journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/article/view/286> [cited 2024 May 31]
6. Azeem B, KuShaari K, Man ZB, Basit A, Thanh TH. Review on materials & methods

- to produce controlled release coated urea fertilizer. Journal of controlled release. 2014;181:11-21.
7. Wang W, Peng S, Liu H, Tao Y, Huang J, Cui K, Nie L. The possibility of replacing puddled transplanted flooded rice with dry seeded rice in central China: A review. Field Crops Research. 2017;214:310-320.
  8. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1973.
  9. Bremner JM, Mulvaney CS. Nitrogen—total. Methods of soil analysis: Part 2 chemical and Microbiological Properties. 1982;9:595-624.
  10. Naz S, Nandan R, Roy DK. Effect of crop establishment methods and weed management practices on productivity, economics and nutrient uptake in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2020;9:3002-9.
  11. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 16th Ed. Association of official analytical chemists. Washington DC, USA; 1995.
  12. Singh VP, Pal B, Sharma YK. Response of rice to nitrogen and zinc application irrigated with saline water. Environment and Ecology. 2013;31(1A):344- 349.
  13. Sreenivas CH, Murlidhar S, Singa Rao M. Vermicompost: A viable component of IPNSS in nitrogen nutrition of ridge gourd. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2000;21 (1):108-13.
  14. Bakhtiar SM, Sakurai K. Effect of organic manure and chemical fertilizer on soil fertility and productivity of plant and ratoon crops of sugarcane. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2005;51:325–34.
  15. Lahari S, Hussain SA, Parameswari YS, Sharma SHK. Grain yield and nutrient uptake of rice as influenced by the nano forms of nitrogen and zinc. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2021;11 (7):1-6.
  16. De Rosa MC, Monreal C, Schnitzer M, Walsh R, Sultan Y. Nanotechnology in fertilizers. Nature nanotechnology. 2010;5 (2):91-97
  17. Kumar A, Meena RN, Yadav L, Gilotia YK. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient on yield, yield attributes and nutrient uptake of rice cv. PRH-10. The Bioscan. 2014;9(2):595-597.
  18. Jhanzab HM, Razzaq A, Jilani G, Rehman A, Hafeez A, Yasmeen F. Silver nano-particles enhance the growth, yield and nutrient use efficiency of wheat. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research. 2015;7(1):15- 22.
  19. Manikandan A, Subramanian KS. Evaluation of zeolite based nitrogen nano-fertilizers on maize growth, yield and quality on inceptisols and alfisols. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2016;9(4):1-9.
  20. Khalil MH, Abou AAF., Abdrabou RTH, Abdalhalim SH, Abdelmaaboud MSH. Response of two maize cultivars (*Zea mays* L.) to organic manure and mineral nano nitrogen fertilizer under siwa oasis conditions. Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019;27(1):299-312.
  21. Sahu KB, Geet S, Pandey D, Keshry PK, Chaure NK. Effect of nitrogen management through Nano-fertilizer in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) International Journal of Chemical Research and Development. 2022;4(1):25-27.
  22. Singh AK, Bhushan M, Meena MK, Upadhyaya A. Effect of sulphur and zinc on rice performance and nutrient dynamics in plants and soil of Indo Gangetic plains. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012;4 (11):162.
  23. Nishanth D, Biswas R. Kinetics of phosphorus and potassium release from rock phosphate and waste mica enriched compost and their effect on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Bio Resource Tech. 2008; 99(1):3342-3353.
  24. Belder P, Bouman BAM, Cabangon R, Lu GA, Li YH, Spiertz JHJ, Toung TP. Effect of water saving irrigation on rice yield and water used in typical lowland conditions in Asia. Agric. Water Mgt. 2005;65:193–210.
  25. Arunbabu Talla, Satya Nanda Jena. Evaluation of crop establishment and weed management practices on growth and yield under rainfed conditions. Current Biotica. 2014;8(3):257-269.
  26. Parashivamurthy, Rajendraprashad S, Siddaraju R, Lakshmi J. Study the effect of promising varieties and methods of cultivation on growth, seed yield and quality parameters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012;46 (3):529-537.
  27. Raut SD, Mahadkar UV, Bahure,GK, Yadav AM, Chavan AR, Jagtap DN, Chavan LS, Dodke SB, Burondkar MM,

Dhekale JS. Influence of seedling age and different levels and methods of application of nutrients on yield, nutrient content

and uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy, 2020;65(3): 264-270.

---

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118499>