

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

33(24): 17-35, 2021; Article no.IJPSS.77797 ISSN: 2320-7035

Evaluation of Rock Phosphate Enriched Compost on Soil Nutrient Status after Harvest of Finger Millet-Cowpea Cropping Sequence in High Phosphorus Soils of Cauvery Command Area, Karnataka

G. S. Jagadeesha ^{a*†}, H. C. Prakasha ^{a#}, M. N. Shivakumara ^{a†}, K. Govinda ^{a‡} and S. B. Yogananda ^{b¥}

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, India. ^b Department of Agronomy, VC Farm, Mandya, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2021/v33i2430748 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Prof. FarukToklu, University of Çukurova, Turkey. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Alyaa Jabbar Hamid, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq. (2) Anita Ivanković, University of Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina. Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77797</u>

Original Research Article

Received 28 September 2021 Accepted 02 December 2021 Published 06 December 2021

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, VC Farm, Mandya during *kharif* 2017, summer 2018, *kharif* 2018 and summer 2019 to study the effect of rock phosphate enriched compost on soil nutrient status after harvest of finger millet-cowpea cropping sequence. Prior to initiation of the field experiment, three different composts *viz.*, urban solid waste compost (USWC), vermicompost and farm yard manure (FYM) were enriched with rock phosphate at 5 per cent. Field experiment consisting of eleven treatment combinations comprising recommended N and K, and P through varied levels of enriched composts. The experiment was laid out in RCBD design with three replications and the test crops were finger millet and cowpea. The initial P_2O_5 of the experimental site was very high (133.58 kg ha⁻¹). The results revealed that application of recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC (T₅) had significantly

higher organic carbon (0.56 and 0.58%) in pooled data of both finger millet and cowpea, respectively. Available N (241.94 and 224.86 kg ha⁻¹), P_2O_5 (138.69 and 120.99 kg ha⁻¹) and K_2O (153.92 and 135.31 kg ha⁻¹) were recorded significantly higher in T_5 of finger millet and cowpea, respectively. Similarly, in pooled mean, exchangeable Ca [4.15 and 4.04 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹] and Mg [2.16 and 2.05 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹] were recorded significantly higher in treatment which received recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost (T_8) in both finger millet and cowpea, respectively. The decrease of available P_2O_5 was 20.98 per cent from initial (133.58 kg ha⁻¹) to final crop (summer 2019) (105.55 kg ha⁻¹).

Keywords: USWC; vermicompost; FYM; rock phosphate; finger millet; cowpea.

1. INTRODUCTION

The intensive cropping and overuse of inorganic fertilizers without organic inputs degrade the soil quality and cause environmental pollution. Depletion of soil quality could be avoided by proper and careful soil management practices. The application of organics along with inorganic fertilizers is an important practice to improve soil quality, enhance microbial activity and nutrient recycles to produce quality crops. Organic manures act not only as a source of nutrients, but also increases microbial activity, influence structure, nutrients turnover and many other related physical, chemical and biological parameters of the soil [1].

Composting is gaining interest as suitable option for chemical fertilizers with environmental benefit, since this process eliminates or reduces toxicity of municipal solid waste [2,3] and leads to a final product which can be used in improving and maintaining soil quality. Conventional organic fertilizers, such as urban solid waste compost (USWC) vermicompost and farmyard manure (FYM), are widely recommended for agricultural production as nutrient source and soil conditioner. Use of such compost to the crops could able to save about 50 per cent of chemical fertilizers and save millions of dollars on exchequer from importing of chemical fertilizers to the country. It can be used as an alternative to other organic matter in agriculture and as a soil conditioner. The quality of the USWC can be improved by use of beneficial microorganisms, nutrient additives and organic amendments [4]. Jat and Ahlawat [5] reported that vermicompost application enhanced soil nutrient status (N and P) in subsequent crops compared to no vermicompost application. Orozco et al. [6] and Parthasarathi [7] reported that vermicompost contains nutrients in forms that are readily taken up by the plants, such as nitrates, exchangeable phosphorus and soluble potassium, calcium and magnesium. Tomati et al. [8] and Parthasarathi

et al. [9] also reported that vermicompost contains higher amount of humic acid and biologically active substances such as plant growth regulators.

Phosphorus (P) is one of the major essential macronutrients required for the plant growth. Globally more than 5.7 billion hectares of land contain very low available P [10]. Recently, rock phosphate (RP), which is used as a raw material for phosphatic fertilizers, is appeared to be a potential source of plant P nutrition [11]. Unfortunately, P in RP is not easily available in soils with an alkaline pH and even when conditions are optimal, plant yields are lower than those obtained with soluble phosphate [12]. Availability of P from relatively insoluble RP can be improved by integrating it with organic and phosphate residues [13] solubilizing microorganisms [14]. Many studies have shown that the enriched compost improves physical and chemical properties of soils by increasing nutrient content, organic matter, water holding capacity and cation exchange capacity. Thus contributing to improvement of crop vield and quality [15,16]. Keeping in view, the benefits of organic manuring as well as its inherent limitations such as analysis and slow action, a study was taken up to investigate the possibility of conversion of compost (USWC, vermicompost and FYM) into phosphate enriched compost through RP and to evaluate their nutritional quality of the crop.

Finger millet-cowpea is a major cereal-pulse based cropping system followed in southern dry zone of Karnataka (Zone 6). Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) is an important cereal that belongs to the grass family *Poaceae*, sub family *Chloridoidae*. It is estimated that finger millet accounts for some 10 per cent of the 30 million tons of millet produced globally [17]. In India, it is cultivated on 1.8 m ha with average yields of 1.3 t ha⁻¹. In Karnataka, finger millet is grown in an area of 0.76 m ha producing 1.32 m t with a yield of 1715 kg ha⁻¹ [18]. Cowpea (*Vigna* *unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is a legume mainly grown in tropical and subtropical regions in the world for vegetable and grains and to lesser extent as a fodder crop. It also serves as cover crop and improves soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen. In view of this, the present study was initiated with the following objectives;

- To study the effect of phosphorus enriched composts on soil nutrient status after harvest of finger millet
- 2. To study the residual effect of phosphorus enriched composts on soil nutrient status after harvest of cowpea.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Site and Experimental Details

A field experiment was carried out to assess the effect of rock phosphate enriched compost on soil nutrient status after harvest of finger milletcowpea cropping system in high phosphorus build up soil at ZARS, Mandya in Southern Dry Zone of Karnataka state lying between 12° 34' 03" North (latitude) and 76° 49' 08" East (longitude) with an altitude of 697 m above mean sea level. Finger millet (variety KMR 204) was taken as a main crop (*kharif*) and cowpea (variety C 152) was taken up as residual crop (summer) with a spacing of 30 x 10 cm.

The soil was sandy loam in texture with 87.42, 1.62, and 9.87 per cent sand, silt and clay, respectively and bulk density of 1.38 Mg m⁻³. The soil was neutral in reaction (pH 7.12) and low in soluble salts (0.21 dS m⁻¹). The soil was low in organic carbon (0.48%) content, low in available N (210.80 kg ha⁻¹), K₂O (130.20 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available P₂O₅ (133.58 kg ha⁻¹). The exchangeable Ca and Mg content of soil was 2.57 and 1.08 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively and available S was 8.85 mg kg⁻¹. The DTPA extractable micronutrient content *viz.*, Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn were 0.83, 1.21, 1.94 and 14.04 mg kg⁻¹, respectively.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with eleven treatments and replicated thrice. The treatment combination include, T₁: Absolute control, T₂: Package of practice (100% NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹), T₃: Recommended N and K + 25% P supplied through enriched USWC, T₄: Recommended N and K + 50% P supplied through enriched USWC, T₅: Recommended N and K + 75% P supplied through enriched

USWC, T₆: Recommended N and K + 25% P supplied through enriched vermicompost, T7: Recommended N and K + 50% P supplied through vermicompost. enriched T₈: Recommended N and K + 75% P supplied through enriched vermicompost, T_g: Recommended N and K + 25% P supplied through enriched FYM, T₁₀: Recommended N and K + 50% P supplied through enriched FYM and T₁₁. Recommended N and K + 75% P supplied through enriched FYM. FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ was common for all the treatments except Absolute control (T1). Recommended dose of fertilizer was 100:50:50 kg of N, P2O5 and K2O per ha and net plot size was 12 m².

2.2 Soil Analysis

Before transplanting and at harvest of both the crops, soil sampling at a depth of 0-15 cm were collected using a soil auger. The soil samples collected were air dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve. The processed soil samples were analyzed for selected basic chemical properties.

2.3 Soil pH and Electrical Conductivity (EC)

Soil pH and electrical conductivity of the samples were measured on a 1:2.5 soil: water suspension separately using Systronic digital pH meter and digital conductivity meter at 25°C, respectively [19].

2.4 Organic Carbon (OC)

The organic carbon content in soil samples ground to pass through a 40 mesh sieve was determined by Walkley and Black [20] wet oxidation method where organic matter present in the soil is oxidized by chromic acid by making use of heat of dilution of H_2SO_4 for reaction by following method described by Jackson [8].

2.5 Available Nitrogen (N)

Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline potassium permanganate method, where organic matter present in the soil was oxidized with hot alkaline KMnO₄solution in the presence of NaOH. The ammonia (NH₃) evolved during oxidation was distilled and trapped in boric acid-mixed indicator solution. Amount of NH₃ trapped in boric acid + mixed indicator estimated by titrating against standard acid [21].

2.6 Available Phosphorus (P₂O₅)

Available phosphorous was extracted with 0.5M sodium bicarbonate at pH 8.5 (Olsen's reagent) and amount of phosphorous in the extract was estimated at 660 nm using spectrophotometer as outlined by Jakson [19].

2.7 Available Potassium (K₂O)

Available potassium was extracted from soil using neutral N ammonium acetate at 1:5 soil to extractant ratio and the concentration of potassium in the extract was determined by flame photometer [19].

2.8 Exchangeable Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Mg)

Exchangeable Ca and Mg were extracted from soils using neutral N ammonium acetate at 1:5 soils to extractant ratio. The concentrations of Ca and Mg in the extract were determined by EDTA titration method as outlined by Jackson [19].

2.9 Available Sulphur (S)

Available sulphur was extracted with 0.15 % CaCl₂ solution using turbidimetric method and amount of S in the extract was estimated at 420 nm using spectrophotometer as outlined by Black [22].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil Nutrient Status of Finger Millet

3.1.1 Soil reaction (pH)

Irrespective of treatments, after harvest of finger millet in both first and second season, the pH of the soil decreased from its initial level (7.12). Treatments which received POP: 100 per cent NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ (T₂) and recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC (T₅) noticed significantly lower pH (6.92) compared to rest of the treatments (Table 1). In first season, treatment T₂ recorded significantly lower pH (6.87) compared to other treatments except T_5 (6.88)and T₈ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (6.93) and in second season, T_5 recorded significantly lower pH (6.95) compared to other treatments and was on par with $T_2(6.97)$ and $T_8(6.97)$. Slightly lower pH values were recorded in these treatments could be attributed to buffering capacity of humic substances in the soil. It is known that incorporation of organic matter leads to stabilizing pH and resist the fluctuation of pH due to management practices [23]. Wafaa et al. [24] also reported that application of cyanobacteria inoculation with compost slightly decreased pH in paddy crop.

3.1.2 Electrical conductivity (EC)

Similar to pH, the EC of the soil decreased from its initial level (0.21 dS m⁻¹). However, no significant differences were observed in second season and pooled data (Table 1). In first season (2017), treatment T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) had significantly lower EC (0.17 dS m⁻¹) compared to other treatments but found at par with T₂ (POP: 100 per cent NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (0.19 dS m⁻¹). Significantly lower EC could be due to organic mattercontributed humicsubstances which might be due to chelating, which detoxify the toxic ions, especially Na⁺ and Cl⁻, resulting low EC in soil treated with organic matter [25]. No significant differences in EC due to application of inorganic fertilizer either alone or in combination with manures. Similar results were reported by Badanur et al. [26] and Manjunatha [23].

3.1.3 Organic carbon (OC)

Significantly higher organic carbon (0.54, 0.59 and 0.56%) was observed in season 1, season 2 and pooled data, respectively in treatment T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (Table 1). In first season, T_5 was on par with T_2 (POP: 100 per cent NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (0.50%), T_4 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied USWC) through enriched (0.53%), T_7 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (0.51%), T₈ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (0.53%) and T_{11} (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (0.50%). In second season, T₅ was on par with T₄ (0.58%) and T₈ (0.58%) and in pooled data, T₅ found on par with T_4 (0.55%), T_7 (0.53%) and T_8 (0.55%).

The reason for significant increase of OC might be due to the application of manures which provided carbonaceous materials for decomposition and also presence of inorganic nitrogenous fertilizer enhancing the activity of soil micro-organisms and in turn hasten the decomposition of organic matter as a result of optimum C:N ratio and converting them to mineralized organic colloids, besides adding them to soil reserves. This was in conformity with the findings of Devarajan and Krishnasamy [27]. Bandole and More [28] also revealed that the addition of compost, which has enhanced the soil organic-C due to mineralization as well as the residues left over from the main crop. Soil organic content was increased by application of enriched urban compost reported by Montemurro et al. [29] and Sutaria et al. [30].

3.1.4 Available nitrogen (N)

Irrespective of treatments, treatment which received recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC (T₅) recorded significantly higher soil available N (241.94 kg ha) and was on par with T₂ (POP: 100 per cent NPK + FYM @ 10t ha⁻¹) (232.41 kg ha⁻¹) and T_8 recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (232.08 kg ha⁻¹) in pooled analysis (Table 2). In 2017 season, T₅ recorded significantly higher soil available N (241.43 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other treatments but at par with T_2 (231.87 kg ha⁻¹), T_4 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (217.47 kg ha⁻¹), T_7 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (211.33 kg ha ¹), T_8 (231.57 kg ha⁻¹), T_{10} (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (213.10 kg ha⁻¹) and T_{11} (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (213.10 kg ha⁻¹) (220.60 kg ha⁻¹) and in 2018 season, only T₅ had significantly higher soil available N (242.45 kg ha⁻¹) compared to rest of the treatments.

Significant increase of soil available N could be attributed to the addition of urban compost which released N as a result of mineralization and also due to the effect of microbial inoculation viz., N fixers which might have favored the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and conversion of organically bound N to inorganic form. The results are in conformity with the findings of Reddy and Krishnaiah [31], Soumare et al. [32] and Reddy [33]. Combined application of NPK and USWC increased N content of several types of crops when compared to application of mineral fertilizers alone as indicated by Kropisz and Wojciechowsky [34] and Kropisz and Russel [35]. Similar results obtained by Ramesh et al. [36], enriched banana compost when was incorporated resulted in higher available N content in soil at harvest. There was build-up of N and P_2O_5 with the inclusion of legumes in cropping system Hemalatha et al. [37].

3.1.5 Available phosphorus (P₂O₅)

Significantly higher soil available P_2O_5 (139.23) and 138.69 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in season 1 and pooled data, respectively in T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) and was on par with T_2 (POP: 100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (137.94 and 135.89 kg ha⁻¹), T_4 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (136.55 and 137.13 kg ha⁻¹), T_7 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (136.28 and 137.09 kg ha⁻¹), T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (137.81 and 138.31 kg ha⁻¹) and T_{11} (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) $(135.24 \text{ and } 135.64 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ in season 1 and pooled data, respectively (Table 2). In second season, T₈ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) had significantly higher soil available P₂O₅ (138.80 kg ha⁻¹) and was on par with T₂ (133.84 kg ha⁻¹), T₃ (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (135.31 kg ha⁻¹), T_4 $(137.70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}), \text{ T}_{5} (138.16 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1}), \text{ T}_{7} (137.90)$ kg ha⁻¹) and T_{11} (136.03 kg ha⁻¹).

The increase in available P at harvest of finger millet could be ascribed to increased solubility of native soil phosphorus by the means of mineralization of applied composts. Further, it may be due to the action of P solubilizers which solubilizes the organically bound P into inorganic form. Jimenez et al. [38] and Zhang et al. [39] reported that application of municipal solid waste compost effectively supplied P to soil by reducing the fixation of P through chelation of organic ligands and increase the soil P concentration with increasing application rates. The magnitude of increase in available P from first to second crop was low due to only 20-25 per cent of applied phosphorus becomes available to the immediate crop and rest adds to the soil pool which increased the available P status of soil after the harvest of finger millet [40]. Increase in P was also due to solvent action of inorganic and organic acids on soil phosphates. simultaneously, some inorganic P may be released from mineralization of organic P pools of soil and applied organic materials during the decomposition. These observations are in

conformity with the findings of Singh et al. [41]. Mishra and Sharma [42] and Bangar et al. [43] reported that addition of higher doses of garbage compost slightly increased the amount of P in soil.

3.1.6 Available potassium (K₂O)

Among the treatments, significantly higher soil available K_2O (151.40 and 156.78kg ha⁻¹) was observed in first and second season, respectively in T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) and was on par with T₂ (POP: 100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (149.53 and 153.13 kg ha⁻¹), T_3 (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (131.67 and 136.46 kg ha⁻¹), T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (142.13 and 147.23 kg ha⁻¹), T₅ (recommended N and K + 75) per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) $(151.23 \text{ and } 156.60 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}), \text{ T}_7 (\text{recommended N})$ and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (144.33 and 149.49 kg ha⁻¹) and T₁₁ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (142.53 and 147.64 kg ha⁻¹) in first and second season, respectively (Table 2). In pooled data, T₈ had significantly higher soil available K₂O (154.09 kg ha⁻¹) and was on par with T₂ (151.33 kg ha⁻¹), T₄ (144.68 kg ha⁻¹), T₅ (153.92 kg ha⁻¹), T₇ (146.91 kg ha⁻¹) and T_{11} (145.09 kg ha⁻¹).

Significant increase in potassium content was observed due to release of K from composts and due to solubilization of mineral bound or native K. Application of the composts also results in prevention of leaching loss due to retention of more K by organic colloids. The results are in consonance with the findings of Giusquiani et al. [44] who also reported increase in K content even when very low rates of USWC was used. Increase in the available potassium status of soil was due to application of organic materials, which could be ascribed to greater capacity of organic colloids to hold the nutrients at the exchange site and also reduction of potassium fixation and release of potassium to the available pool of soil. The observations are in conformity with the findings of Sailaja and Ushakumari [45]. The increased the availability of nutrients in enriched vermicompost especially P would have enhanced root proliferation which helped in more uptake of K and also K linearly increases with N uptake [46]. Basker et al. [47] reported that the availability of K was enhanced significantly following soil ingestion by earthworm and this must be due to the changes in the distribution of K between non exchangeable to exchangeable forms. Enriched vermicompost cannot increase the total amount of nutrient in the soil but can make them more available and they may increase the rate of nutrient cycling, thereby increasing the quantity of nutrients available [48]. The results are found in finger millet crop which are agreement with findings of Gupta et al. [49] and Bhargavi [50] who also recorded increase in K content with the application of urban compost.

The results could be conformed with the findings of Manjunatha [23] who also reported higher sunflower seed yield, nutrient uptake and residual soil N, P and K content compared to control when cotton stalk enriched compost along with higher levels of RDF NPK were used. Zayed et al. [51] reported that application of 5 t ha⁻¹ paddy straw compost + 110 kg N ha⁻¹ had potential to increase soil nutrient availability than the sole application of chemical nitrogen fertilizer under rice crop.

3.1.7 Exchangeable calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg)

Significantly higher exchangeable Ca was recorded in treatment which received recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost (T_8) (4.11, 4.19 and 4.15 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively) (Table 3). This treatment was on par with T₅ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (3.97, 4.10 and 4.03 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹) and T₇ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (3.97, 4.05 and 4.01 C mol (P^+) kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively).

Among the treatments, significantly higher Mg exchangeable was recorded in T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (2.14, 2.18 and 2.16 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively) (Table 3). This T_8 treatment was on par with T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC), T₇ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) and T₁₁ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (2.04, 2.07 and 2.05 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹ respectively) in first season and T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied

through enriched USWC), T₅, T₆ (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost), T₇, T₉ (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM), T₁₀ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) and T₁₁ (1.89, 2.10, 2.03, 2.09, 1.88, 2.01 and 2.10 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively) in second season. In pooled analysis, T₈ was on par with T₅, T₆, T₇, T₁₀ and T₁₁ (2.07, 2.02, 2.08, 2.01 and 2.07 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively).

The increase in Ca may be ascribed to higher initial content of nutrient in enriched compost. Organic molecules like organic acids produced during the process of decomposition can increase the solubility of native Ca and its retention by the colloids. The increase in Ca and Mg may also be ascribed to the mineralization of urban compost resulting in release of native Ca and its retention by organic colloids. Municipal solid waste compost has been reported to total and extractable soil increase Са concentrations [52]. Adediran et al. [53] also observed an increased in secondary nutrient status in soils amended with organic materials. The results are in conformity with the findings of Reddy and Ahmed [54] and Anand [55].

3.1.8 Available sulphur (S)

Irrespective of the treatments, significantly higher available S was recorded in treatment which received recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC (T_5) (11.06, 11.21 and 11.13 mg kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively) (Table 3). This treatment was on par with T_4 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (10.88, 11.02 and 10.95 mg kg⁻¹) and T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (10.91, 11.05 and 10.98 mg kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively).

Significant increase in soil available S could be attributed to the release of organic bound and native S through mineralization process. Similar results reported with enriched FYM was applied and resulted in higher available S content [56]. This might be due to mineralization of S from organic matter and the release of S from S containing amino acids during decomposition of organic manures [57] and also due to the addition of S containing fertilizers [58]. Zhang et al. [39] documented an increase in soil S concentrations as a result of mineralization of municipal solid waste compost.

3.2 Soil Nutrient Status of Residual Cowpea

3.2.1 Soil reaction (pH)

In first season (2018), no significant difference was observed among the treatments with respect to residual soil pH in cowpea (Table 4). Treatment T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) recorded significantly lower pH (6.98 and 6.98) compared to other treatments but at par with T₂ (POP: 100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (7.02 and 7.00), T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (7.00 and 7.02) and T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (7.00 and 6.99) in second season and pooled data, respectively. A marginal increase in pH might be attributed to mineralization process and addition of more bases from enriched organics. A slight increase in pH was due to mineralization of carbon from USWC and vermicompost and the subsequent production of OH⁻ ions by ligand exchange as well as the introduction of basic cations, such as K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} which was similar to the findings of Mkhabela and Warman [59].

3.2.2 Electrical conductivity (EC)

The data shown in the Table 4 indicated that there was no significant difference in EC of soil in both seasons among all the treatments. However, the EC values ranged from 0.19 to 0.22 dS m⁻¹. Non-significant differences were observed however, a slight increase in EC was noticed in treatments when enriched composts were used. This was due to high EC of enriched composts and upon mineralization releases bicarbonates, Fe, Mn and NH₃ content and subsequent formation of soluble salts of Ca [60,61,62].

3.2.3 Organic carbon (OC)

Significantly higher OC (0.56, 0.61 and 0.58 %) was observed in season 1, season 2 and pooled data, respectively in T₅ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) treatment (Table 4). This treatment was on par with T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (0.55%) and T₈ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost)

(0.55%) in first season, T_8 (0.60%) in second season and T_4 (0.57%) and T_8 (0.57%) in pooled analysis. This might be attributed to the addition of compost and FYM which have enhanced the soil organic carbon (SOC) due to mineralization as well as the residues left over from the main crop as documented by Bandole and More [28]. Montemurro et al. [29] reported an increase in SOC status with the application of urban compost.

3.2.4 Available nitrogen (N)

After harvest of residual cowpea crop, treatment $T_{\rm 5}$ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) recorded significantly higher soil available N (223.96, 225.76 and 224.86 kg ha⁻¹) in first, second season and pooled data, respectively (Table 5). This treatment was on par with T₂ (POP: 100% NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (211.40 kg ha⁻¹) and T₈ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (211.10 kg ha⁻¹) in first season. The incorporation of enriched composts to soil along with inorganic fertilizer enhanced the soil N concentration. This might be due to the inherent higher value of N in the enriched compost and build-up of NO₃-N and NH₄-N in the soil due to release of mineralizable N from the constituents in the compost by the nitrification process as reported by Kavitha and Subramanian [63] and Rathod et al. [64]. Marginally lower available N might be due to efficient uptake of N by the crop plant and also due to nutrient loss by leaching. The results are in line with the findings of Kropisz and Russel [35] who observed that the amount of NO₃-N in soil increased in compost treated plots compared to both controls and plots treated with exclusively mineral fertilizers. The above findings are in confirmation with the findings of Kamlesh et al. [65] that the nature and quantity of applied organic manure has greater control on available N content of the soil. The decreasing trend of N content at harvest of residual cowpea crop was noticed due to the removal of N by the crop as described by Prakash et al. [66].

3.2.5 Available phosphorus (P₂O₅)

Among the treatments, T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) had significantly higher soil available P_2O_5 (118.61 and 123.37 kg ha⁻¹) compared to rest of the treatments except T_2 (POP: 100% NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (115.29 and 117.72 kg ha⁻¹), T_3 (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P

supplied through enriched USWC) (113.43 and 117.53 kg ha⁻¹), T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) $(115.82 \text{ and } 121.58 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}), T_7$ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (114.02 and 121.78 kg ha⁻¹), T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (116.92 and 122.68 kg ha⁻¹) and T_{11} (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (114.15 and 119.91 kg ha⁻¹) in first and second season, respectively (Table 5). In pooled analysis, T₅ noticed significantly higher soil available P_2O_5 (120.99 kg ha⁻¹) and was on par with T₄, T₇, T₈ and T₁₁ (118.70, 117.90, 119.80 and 117.03 kg ha⁻¹, respectively).

The increase in availability of the P content in soil could be attributed to the application of organics through USWC, vermicompost and FYM, their enrichment with rock phosphate released organic which lead to accumulation of more P in soil pool and contributed to increase in the available P_2O_5 . Kavitha and Subramanian [63] opined that application of municipal solid waste compost to the soil forms stable complexes or chelates with cations responsible for P fixation and in turn could have increased its availability. The results are in line with the findings of Singh and Singh [67] reported that organic manure added to the soil was effective in increasing the P availability to the plants. Borkar et al. [68] revealed that the application of organic manure reduced the P fixation in the soil and increased the microbial activity, thus making it more available to the plants. Santhy et al. [69] ascribed that the applied composts forms coating on sesquioxides causing reduction in phosphate fixing capacity of soil in organic manure treated plots and thus improve the available P content of the soil. The magnitude of increase in available P from first to second crop is low might be due to only 20-25 per cent of applied P becomes available to the immediate crop and rest adds to the soil pool which increased the available P status of soil after the harvest of finger millet [40]. The pooled P was efficiently utilized by the succeeding residual cowpea crop and hence there was decline in available P in post-harvest soils of cowpea compared to post harvest soils of finger millet.

3.2.6 Available potassium (K₂O)

In 2018 season, treatment T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) recorded significantly higher soil

available K₂O (134.87 kg ha⁻¹) compared to others and was on par with T₂ (POP: 100% NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹), T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) and T₇ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (131.34, 134.70 and 129.80 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) (Table 5). In 2019 season, T₅ registered significantly higher soil available K₂O (135.93 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other treatments except T_8 (135.10 kg ha⁻¹). And in pooled analysis, T_5 (135.31 kg ha⁻¹) had significantly higher soil available K₂O and was on par with T₂ (131.45 kg ha⁻¹) and T₈ (134.98 kg ha⁻¹). Higher available K₂O content of soil may be attributed to the application of enriched USWC and vermicompost which minimizes the leaching loss of K by retaining K ions on exchange sites of its decomposed products and thus contributes to accumulation of more K in soil pool. Giusquiani et al. [44] who revealed an increase in K content with the application of urban compost. Marginal decline in the available K₂O content of the soil at harvest of residual cowpea may be due to leaching loss and depletion of K by crop uptake [70].

3.3 Exchangeable Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Mg)

Significantly higher exchangeable Ca was recorded in treatment T₈ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (4.00, 4.09 and 4.04 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹ in first, second season and pooled means, respectively) (Table 6). This treatment was on par with T_5 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (3.86, 4.05 and 3.96 C mol (P^+) kg⁻¹) and T₇ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (3.86, 3.99 and 3.93 C mol (P^+) kg⁻¹) in first, second season and pooled data, respectively. Irrespective of the treatments, significantly higher exchangeable Mg was recorded in T_8 (2.02, 2.08 and 2.05 C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively) (Table 6). This T₈ treatment was on par with T_5 , T_6 (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost), T7, T10 (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM)

and T₁₁ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM) (1.95, 1.94, 1.98, 1.93 and 1.96 C mol (P^+) kg⁻¹, respectively) in 2018 season and T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC), T₅, T₆, T₇, T₉ (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched FYM), T₁₀ and T₁₁ (1.83, 2.01, 1.95, 2.01, 1.81, 1.93 and 2.01 C mol (P^+) kg⁻¹, respectively) in second season. In pooled means, T₈ was on par with T₅, T₆, T₇, T₁₀ and T₁₁ (1.98, 1.94, 1.99, 1.93 and 1.99 C mol (P^+) kg⁻¹, respectively). Exchangeable Ca and Mg contents of the soil was higher in enriched composts treated plots at harvest of residual cowpea may be attributed to USWC. vermicompost and FYM being an additional source of Ca and Mg would increase dissolution of Ca and Mg resulting in increasing soil solution Ca concentration upon mineralization of compost. Maynard [71] opined that an increase in total and extractable soil Ca concentrations with the application of municipal solid waste compost.

3.3.1 Available Sulphur (S)

Significantly higher available S was noticed in T₅ (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC) (11.00, 11.13 and 11.06 mg kg⁻¹ in first season, second season and pooled data, respectively) (Table 6). This treatment was on par with T₃ (recommended N and K + 25 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC), T₄ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched USWC), T₇ (recommended N and K + 50 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) and T_8 (recommended N and K + 75 per cent P supplied through enriched vermicompost) (10.05, 10.82, 10.19 and 10.85 mg kg⁻¹, respectively) in first season and T_4 and T_8 (10.95 and 11.01 mg kg⁻¹, respectively) in second season. In pooled analysis, T_5 was on par with T_4 and T_8 (10.88 and 10.93mg kg⁻¹, respectively). Higher S content might be due to oxidation of elemental S and mineralization of S by microorganisms from the native soil [39]. The decreasing trend in available S content at harvest of cowpea may be ascribed to more uptake of S by the residual crop. The results are in accordance with the findings of Nega [72] and Shanthakumari [73].

Treatment		рН			EC (dS m ⁻¹)			Organic carbon (%)		
	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	
T ₁	7.06	7.01	7.03	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.45	0.46	0.45	
T ₂	6.87	6.97	6.92	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.50	0.53	0.51	
T ₃	7.02	7.05	7.04	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.49	0.52	0.50	
T ₄	6.98	7.01	6.99	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.53	0.58	0.55	
T ₅	6.88	6.95	6.92	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.54	0.59	0.56	
T ₆	7.01	7.04	7.03	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.48	0.51	0.49	
T ₇	7.00	7.03	7.01	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.51	0.55	0.53	
T ₈	6.93	6.97	6.95	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.53	0.58	0.55	
Т9	7.03	7.06	7.05	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.48	0.50	0.49	
T ₁₀	7.02	7.05	7.04	0.21	0.19	0.20	0.49	0.53	0.51	
T ₁₁	6.98	7.01	7.00	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.50	0.54	0.52	
S.Em±	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
CD at 5%	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02	NS	NS	0.04	0.03	0.03	

Table 1. Effect of phosphorus enriched composts on pH, EC and organic carbon in soil after harvest of finger millet

T₇: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₈: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₉: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{10} : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{11} : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T1: Absolute control

 T_2 : POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹)

T₃: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₄: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched USWC

 T_5 : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched USWC

Treatment	N (kg ha ⁻¹)				P₂O₅ (kg ha⁻¹)			K₂O (kg ha⁻¹)		
	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	
T ₁	167.80	163.29	165.55	122.47	121.67	122.07	111.53	115.71	113.62	
T ₂	231.87	232.94	232.41	137.94	133.84	135.89	149.53	153.13	151.33	
T ₃	203.33	204.35	203.84	131.97	135.31	133.64	131.67	136.46	134.06	
T ₄	217.47	218.49	217.98	136.55	137.70	137.13	142.13	147.23	144.68	
T₅	241.43	242.45	241.94	139.23	138.16	138.69	151.23	156.60	153.92	
T ₆	204.23	205.25	204.74	128.41	129.34	128.88	128.57	133.26	130.91	
T ₇	211.33	212.35	211.84	136.28	137.90	137.09	144.33	149.49	146.91	
T ₈	231.57	232.59	232.08	137.81	138.80	138.31	151.40	156.78	154.09	
T₀	202.20	203.22	202.71	123.19	124.27	123.73	120.57	125.02	122.79	
T ₁₀	213.10	213.82	213.46	129.16	130.46	129.81	124.80	129.38	127.09	
T ₁₁	220.60	221.62	221.11	135.24	136.03	135.64	142.53	147.64	145.09	
S.Em±	11.59	2.72	5.81	2.28	2.52	1.37	7.16	6.98	4.84	
CD at 5%	34.19	8.03	17.15	6.72	7.45	4.04	21.11	20.60	14.26	

Table 2. Effect of phosphorus enriched composts on available macro nutrients in soil after harvest of finger millet

T₇: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₈: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

 T_9 : Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{10} : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T₁₁: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T1: Absolute control

 T_2 : POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹)

T₃: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T4: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched USWC

 T_5 : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched USWC

Treatment	Ca (cmol (P ⁺) kg ⁻¹)				Mg (cmol (P⁺) kg⁻¹)			S (mg kg ⁻¹)		
	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	
T ₁	2.42	2.39	2.41	1.04	1.07	1.06	8.78	8.66	8.72	
T ₂	3.32	3.40	3.36	1.37	1.38	1.38	9.88	10.01	9.95	
T ₃	2.95	3.01	2.98	1.45	1.48	1.46	10.11	10.24	10.17	
T ₄	3.23	3.35	3.29	1.86	1.89	1.88	10.88	11.02	10.95	
T ₅	3.97	4.10	4.03	2.04	2.10	2.07	11.06	11.21	11.13	
T ₆	3.44	3.51	3.47	2.00	2.03	2.02	9.14	9.27	9.20	
T ₇	3.97	4.05	4.01	2.07	2.09	2.08	10.25	10.39	10.32	
T ₈	4.11	4.19	4.15	2.14	2.18	2.16	10.91	11.05	10.98	
Т ₉	2.94	3.03	2.99	1.87	1.88	1.88	9.08	9.20	9.14	
T ₁₀	3.13	3.19	3.16	2.01	2.01	2.01	9.59	9.72	9.66	
T ₁₁	3.21	3.33	3.27	2.05	2.10	2.07	9.92	10.05	9.99	
S.Em±	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.11	0.06	0.17	0.08	0.07	
CD at 5%	0.19	0.23	0.14	0.12	0.31	0.19	0.50	0.22	0.21	

Table 3. Effect of phosphorus enriched composts on available secondary nutrients in soil after harvest of finger millet

T₇: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₈: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₉: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{10} : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{11} : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T1: Absolute control

*T*₂: POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹)

T₃: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₄: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₅: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched USWC

Treatment		рН		EC (dS m ⁻¹)			Organic carbon (%)		
	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled
T ₁	7.03	7.03	7.03	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.45	0.44	0.45
T ₂	6.99	7.02	7.00	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.51	0.55	0.53
T ₃	7.07	7.07	7.07	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.51	0.53	0.52
T ₄	7.03	7.00	7.02	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.55	0.59	0.57
T ₅	6.97	6.98	6.98	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.56	0.61	0.58
T ₆	7.06	7.06	7.06	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.49	0.52	0.50
T ₇	7.05	7.05	7.05	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.53	0.58	0.55
T ₈	6.98	7.00	6.99	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.55	0.60	0.57
T₀	7.08	7.08	7.08	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.49	0.50	0.49
T ₁₀	7.07	7.07	7.07	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.51	0.54	0.52
T ₁₁	7.03	7.03	7.03	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.51	0.55	0.53
S.Em±	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
CD at 5%	NS	0.04	0.04	NS	NS	NS	0.02	0.01	0.01

Table 4. Residual effect of phosphorus enriched composts on pH, EC and organic carbon in soil after harvest of cowpea

T₇: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₈: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

 T_9 : Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{10} : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{11} : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T1: Absolute control

 T_2 : POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹)

T₃: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched USWC

 T_4 : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₅: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched USWC

Treatment	N (kg ha⁻¹)				P₂O₅ (kg ha⁻¹)			K₂O (kg ha⁻¹)		
	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	
T ₁	147.67	148.14	147.91	101.45	105.55	103.50	95.00	95.23	95.11	
T ₂	211.40	213.20	212.30	115.29	117.72	116.51	131.34	131.56	131.45	
T ₃	182.86	185.00	183.93	113.43	117.53	115.48	116.48	115.37	115.92	
T ₄	197.34	198.81	198.08	115.82	121.58	118.70	125.94	125.83	125.88	
T ₅	223.96	225.76	224.86	118.61	123.37	120.99	134.70	135.93	135.31	
T ₆	184.10	185.90	185.00	107.46	113.22	110.34	113.71	112.27	112.99	
T ₇	190.53	192.33	191.43	114.02	121.78	117.90	129.80	128.03	128.91	
T ₈	211.10	212.90	212.00	116.92	122.68	119.80	134.87	135.10	134.98	
Т ₉	181.40	183.20	182.30	102.47	108.15	105.31	104.04	104.27	104.15	
T ₁₀	192.30	194.10	193.20	109.91	114.34	112.13	108.94	108.50	108.72	
T ₁₁	200.47	202.27	201.37	114.15	119.91	117.03	126.00	126.23	126.11	
S.Em±	5.09	2.67	2.77	2.59	2.47	1.35	2.82	0.57	1.44	
CD at 5%	15.01	7.89	8.18	7.64	7.28	3.99	8.31	1.69	4.24	

Table 5. Residual effect of phosphorus enriched composts on available macro nutrients in soil after harvest of cowpea

T₇: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

 T_8 : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost T_9 : Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{10} : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{11} : Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T₁: Absolute control

 T_2 : POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹)

T₃: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T4: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₅: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched USWC

Treatment	Ca (C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹)				Mg (C mol (P⁺) kg⁻¹)			S (mg kg⁻¹)		
	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	
T ₁	2.38	2.37	2.38	1.02	1.04	1.03	8.69	8.60	8.64	
T ₂	3.23	3.33	3.28	1.31	1.34	1.32	9.82	9.95	9.88	
T ₃	2.87	2.94	2.90	1.39	1.41	1.40	10.05	10.18	10.11	
T ₄	3.14	3.30	3.22	1.78	1.83	1.80	10.82	10.95	10.88	
T ₅	3.86	4.05	3.96	1.95	2.01	1.98	11.00	11.13	11.06	
T ₆	3.34	3.43	3.39	1.94	1.95	1.94	9.08	9.20	9.14	
T ₇	3.86	3.99	3.93	1.98	2.01	1.99	10.19	10.32	10.25	
T ₈	4.00	4.09	4.04	2.02	2.08	2.05	10.85	11.01	10.93	
T9	2.89	2.95	2.92	1.79	1.81	1.80	9.02	9.14	9.08	
T ₁₀	3.04	3.15	3.09	1.93	1.93	1.93	9.53	9.62	9.58	
T ₁₁	3.12	3.29	3.21	1.96	2.01	1.99	9.86	9.98	9.92	
S.Em±	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.03	0.12	0.06	0.32	0.09	0.17	
CD at 5%	0.42	0.33	0.28	0.10	0.34	0.18	0.95	0.28	0.49	

Table 6. Residual effect of phosphorus enriched composts on available secondary nutrients in soil after harvest of cowpea

T₇: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₈: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched vermicompost

T₉: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched FYM

 T_{10} : Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T₁₁: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched FYM

T1: Absolute control

 T_2 : POP (100 % NPK + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹)

T₃: Recommended N & K + 25 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₄: Recommended N & K + 50 % P supplied through enriched USWC

T₅: Recommended N & K + 75 % P supplied through enriched USWC

4. CONCLUSION

Under phosphorus rich soil condition, application of recommended N and K along with 50-75 per cent P through rock phosphate enriched USWC and vermicompost had beneficial effect on enhancing soil nutrient status after harvest of finger millet-cowpea cropping sequence as compared to control and package of practices. Instead of application of sole inorganic P fertilizer, apply combination of both inorganic and organic P fertilizer will alter the high P build up in Cauvery command area, Karnataka.

REFERENCES

- Albiach R, Canet R, Pomares F, Ingelmo F. Microbial biomass content and enzymatic activities after the application of organic amendments to a horticultural soil. Bioresour. Technol. 2000;75(1):43-48.
- Kaushik P, Garg VK. Vermicomposting of mixed solid textile mill sludge and cowdung with the epigeic earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*). Biores. Tech. 2003;90:311-316.
- 3. Araujo ASF, Monteiro RTR. Plant bioassays to assess toxicity of textile sludge compost. Scientia Agricola. 2005;62(3):286-290.
- 4. Rao RB, Radhakrishna D. Quality improvement of urban solid waste compost with plant growth promoting organisms. Proc. Nat. Symp. Envi. 2001;290-292.
- Jat RS, Ahlawat IPS. Direct and residual effect of vermicompost, biofertilizers and phosphorus on soil nutrient dynamics and productivity of chickpea-fodder maize sequence. J. Sustain. Agric. 2006;28(1):41-54.
- Orozco FM, Cegarra J, Trujillo LM, Roig A. Vermicomposting of coffee pulp using the earthworm Eisenia fetida: Effect on C and N contents and the availability of nutrient. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 1996;22:162-166.
- Parthasarathi K. Vermicomposts produced by four species of earthworms from sugar mill wastes (pressmud). Ind. J. Life Sci. 2004;1:41-46.
- 8. Tomati U, Galli E, Grappelli A, Dihena G. Effect of earthworm casts on protein synthesis in radish (*Raphanus sativum*) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) seedlings. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 1990;9:288-299.
- 9. Parthasarathi K, Gunasekaran G, Ranganathan LS. Efficiency of mono and polycultured earthworms in humification of

organic wastes. J. Ann. Uni. Sci. 2006;42:127-134.

- Hinsinger P. Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by rootinduced chemical changes: A review. Plant Soil. 2001;237:173-195.
- 11. Yu X, LIU X, ZHU TH, LIU GH, MAO C. Coinoculation with phosphate-solubilzing and nitrogen fixing bacteria on solubilization of rock phosphate and their effect on growth promotion and nutrient uptake by walnut. European J. Soil Biology. 2012;50:112-117.
- Khasawnech FE, Doll EC. The use of phosphate rock for direct application to soils. Advances in Agronomy. 1978;30:159-206.
- 13. Biswas DR, Narayanasamy G. Rock phosphate enriched compost: An approach to improve low grade Indian rock phosphate. Bioresource Technology. 2006;97:2243-2251.
- CHI R, XIAO C, HUANG X, WANG C, WU Y. Bio decomposition of rock phosphate containing pyrites by Acidithiobacillusferrooxidans. J. Central South Uni. Technology. 2007;14:170-175.
- Mylavarapu RS, Zinati GM. Improvement of soil properties using compost for optimum parsley production in sandy soils. Scientia Horticulturae. 2009;120(3):426-430.
- 16. Iovieno P, Morra L, Leone A, Pagano L, Alfani A. Effect of organic and mineral fertilizers on soil respiration and enzyme activities of two Mediterranean Horticultural soils. Biol. Fert. Soils. 2009;45:555-561.
- Dida MM, Srinivasachary S, Ramakrishnan JL, Bennetzen MD, Devos K. Population structure and diversity in finger millet (Eleusinecoracana) germplasm. Trop. Pl. Biol. 2008;1:131-141.
- 18. FAO statistics division 2014; 04 August 2014.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis., Prentice Hall (India) Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1973.
- Walkley AJ, Black CA. An examination method for determination soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1934;37:29-38.
- 21. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sci. 1956;25:259-260.
- 22. Black CA. Methods of soil analysis part II. Chemical and microbial properties No. 9 in

the series of Agronomy. American. Soc. Agron. Inc., Maadison, Wisconsin, USA; 1965.

- Manjunatha CK. Preparation of cotton stalk based enriched compost and its effect on black soil properties and growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.).M.Sc. (Agri.). Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Raichur (India); 2011.
- 24. Wafaa MTE, Ghazal FM, Mahmoud AA, Gehan HY. Responses of wheat-rice cropping system to cyanobacteria inoculation and different soil conditioners sources under saline soil. Nature. Sci. 2013;11(10):118-129.
- Zahid L, Niazi MFK. Role of ristech material in the reclamation of saline-sodic soils. Pak. J. Water Resour. 2006;10:43-49.
- 26. Badanur VP, Poleshi CM, Naik BK. Effect of organic matter on crop yield and physical and chemical properties of Vertisol. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1990;38:426-429.
- Devarajan R, Krishnasamy R. Effect of enriched organic manures on rice yield. Madras J. Agric. Sci. 1996;83(5):280-283.
- Bandole SB, More SD. Soil organic carbon status as influenced by organic and inorganic nutrient sources in Vertisols. J. MaharastraAgril. Univ. 2010;25(2):220-222.
- 29. Montemurro F, Maiorana M, Convertini G, Ferri D. Compost organic amendments in fodder crops: Effects on yield, nitrogen utilization and soil characteristics. Compost Sci. Util. 2006;14(2):114-123.
- 30. Sutaria GS, Akbari KN, Vora VD, Hirpara, Padmani DR. Response of legume cops to enriched compost and vermicompost on under rainfed agriculture, Legume Res. 2010;33(2):128-130.
- Reddy NM, Krishnaiah K. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable rice production. Tech. Bull., Directorate of Rice Research (ICAR), Hyderabad-30 (AP); 1999.
- 32. Soumare M, Tack F, Verloo M. Characterization of Malian and Belgian solid waste composts with respect to fertility and suitability for land application. Waste Manage. 2003;23:517-522.
- Reddy VC. Effect of urban garbage compost on growth and yield of tomato. Curr. Res. 1999;28:43-44.
- 34. Kropisz A, Russel S. Effect of fertilization of light loamy soil with the dano compost

on microflora as well as on yields and chemical composition of lettuce and spinach. Rocz. Nauk. Rol. Ser. 1978;103:20-37.

- 35. Kropisz A, Wojciechowsky J. Mutual effects of mineral fertilizers and composts made from municipal wastes on yields and chemical composition of cabbage. Rocz. Nauk. Rol. Ser. 1978;103:164-80.
- 36. Ramesh T, Devasenapathy P, Kavino M. Effect of enriched banana waste compost on performance of hybrid bhendi. Crop Res. 2007;34(1-3):130-132.
- Hemalatha S, Rao PV, Reddy KPA, Suresh K. A critical review on the influence of phosphorus on available nutrient status of soil in sunflower-groundnut crop sequence. Int. J. Pl. Animal Env. Sci. 2013;3(3):165-167.
- Jimenez IE, Garcia V, Espino M, Hernandez J. City refuse compost as a phosphorus source to overcome the Pfixation capacity of sesquioxide-rich soils. Pl. Soil. 1993;148:115-127.
- Zhang M, Heaney D, Henriquez B, Solberg E, Bittner E. A four-year study on influence of biosolids or MSW co-compost application in less productive soils in Alberta: nutrient dynamics. Compost Sci. Util. 2006;14(1):68-80.
- Punitha BC. Influence of enriched urban solid waste compost on soil properties, yield and uptake of nutrients in cerealpulse cropping systems. Ph. D Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bengaluru (India); 2016.
- 41. Singh D, Mannikar ND, Srinivas NG. Fertilizer value of indigenous rock phosphates compared with single super phosphate: Laboratory incubation studies with farmyard manure. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1976;24:78-80.
- 42. Mishra VK, Sharma RB. Influence of integrated nutrient management on soil health and energy requirement of rice based cropping systems. Oryza. 2005;34:165-170.
- 43. Banger KC, Yadav KS, Mishra MM. Transformation of rock phosphate during composting and the effect of humic acid. Plant Soil. 1985;85:259-266.
- 44. Giusquiani P, Marucchini C, Businelli M. Chemical properties of soils amended with compost of urban waste. Pl. Soil. 1988;109:73-78.
- 45. Sailaja MS, Ushakumari K. Effect of vermicompost enriched with rock phosphate on yield and uptake of nutrients

in cowpea (*Vignaunguiculata* L. Walpa). J. Tropical Agric. 2002;40:27-30.

- 46. Biswas CR. N, P and K uptake of rice on coastal saline soils. IRRN. 1987;12(2):42.
- 47. Basker A, Sudhakar G, Lourdura C, Rangasamy A, Subbian P, Velayutham A. Effect of vermicompost application on the soil properties, nutrient availability, uptake and yield of rice. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1993;25(2):1673-1677.
- 48. Sharpley AN, Syers JK. Earthworms: The 'unheralded soldiers of mankind' and 'farmer's friend' working day and night under the soil: Reviving the dreams of Sir Charles Darwin for promoting sustainable agriculture. Biol. Agric. Hort. 1997;10:47-52.
- 49. Gupta N, Kumar RP, Chand N, Kumar S, Joshi HC. Potential use of MSW compost and sewage sludge in wheat.2: 2ndInt. Agron. Cong. 2002;1168-1169.
- 50. Bhargavi MV. Bio remedial recycling of solid urban waste. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agri. Sci., Bangalore; 2001.
- 51. Zayed BA, Elkhoby WM, Salem AK, Ceesay M, Uphoff NT. Effect of integrated nitrogen fertilizer on rice productivity and soil fertility under saline soil conditions. J. Plant Bio. Res. 2013;2(1):14-24.
- 52. Shanmugam GS, Warman PR. Soil and plant response to organic amendments to three strawberry cultivars. In: Proc. Int. Humic Subs. Soc. 2004;230-232.
- Adediran JA, Taiwo LB, Akande MO, Sobulo RA, Idowu OJ. Application of organic and inorganic fertilizer for sustainable maize and cowpea yields in Nigeria. J. Pl. Nutr. 2004;27(7):1163-1181.
- Reddy CM, Ahmed RS. Influence of organic, inorganic and biological sources in integrated nutrient management practices of sunflower. Green Farming. 2009;2(9):584-587.
- ANAND, Drum composting of segregated and unsegregated urban solid waste and its effect on growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bengaluru (India); 2016.
- Meena MC, Patel KP, Rathod DD. Effect of Zn and Fe enriched FYM and removal of nutrients under mustard-sorghum (Fodder) cropping sequence in semi-arid region of Gujrat. Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. Develop. 2008;23(2):28-34.
- 57. Shivakumar, Effect of farmyard manure, urban compost and NPK fertilizers on

growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana* L.) (Gaertn). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis,Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore; 1999.

- 58. Ponnamperuma FN. The chemistry of submerged soils. Adv. Agron. 1972;24:29-96.
- 59. Mkhabela MS, Warman PR. The influence of municipal solid waste compost on yield, soil phosphorus availability and uptake by two vegetable crops grown in a Pugwash sandy loam soil in Canada. Agric. Ecosys. Env. 2005;106:57-67.
- 60. Gil GJC, Plaza C, Rovira SP, Polo A. Long-term effects of municipal solid waste compost application on soil enzyme activities and microbial biomass. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2000;32:1907-1913.
- 61. Warman PR. Municipal solid waste compost effects tomato leaf tissue: Essential plant nutrients and trace 6th elements. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Biogeochem, Trace Elements, 2001:167,
- 62. Warman PR, Murphy C, Burnham J, Eaton L. Soil and plant response to MSW compost applications on low bush blueberry fields in 2000 and 2001. Small Fruit Rev. 2004;3(1/2):19-31.
- 63. Kavitha R, Subramanian P. Effect of enriched municipal solid waste compost application on growth, plant nutrient uptake and yield of rice.J.Agron. 2007;6(4):586-592.
- Rathod DD, Meena MC, Patel KP. Evaluation of different zinc enriched organics as source of zinc under wheatmaize (fodder) cropping sequence on zincdeficient typic. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2012;60(1):50-55.
- Kamlesh K, Mishra M, Dhankar SS, Kunpoor KK, Gupta AP. Effect of long term manurial application on microbial biomass. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1991;39:685-688.
- 66. Prakash TR, Badrinath, Ali MK. Relative efficiency of different phosphorus sources as influenced by liming on yield and uptake by rice on Oxisols. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1994;42(1):192-194.
- Singh L, Singh M. Effect of organic matter and urea on the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus. J. Indian. Soc. Soil Sci. 1990;23:205-211.
- 68. Borkar DK, Deshmukh ES, Bhoyar VS. Manurial values of FYM and compost as influenced by raw materials used, methods and period of decomposition. J. Soil Crops. 1991;1:117-119.

- Santhy P, Jayashree Shankar S, Muthuvel P, Selvi D. Long-term fertilizer experiments-status of N, P and K fractions in soil. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1998;46:395-398.
- Prasad B, Umar SM. Direct and residual effect of soil application of zinc sulphate on yield and zinc uptake in rice-wheat rotation. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1993;41(1):192-194.
- 71. Maynard A. Cumulative effect of annual additions of MSW compost on the yield of

field-grown tomatoes. Compost Sci. Util. 1995;3(2):47-54.

- 72. Nega YY. Dynamics of suplur in an Alfisol under permanent manuring and fertilizers schedule. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bangalore; 2000.
- 73. Shanthakumari S. Distribution of secondary and micronutrients in an Alfisols subjected to long term fertilizer schedule and continuous cropping. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore; 2007.

© 2021 Jagadeesha et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77797